



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Monday, the 09th day of March 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.441/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000761.-2026)**

Manimaran, (aged 38),
S/o.Arumugam.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Bazaar P.S, Ramanathapuram.,
in Cr.No.90/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 06.03.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Gunasekaran, M.A, B.L., the learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, M.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.02.2026 by the respondent police in Cr.No.90/2026 for the offence punishable U/s. 25(1A) Arms Act, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, while the respondent police were on patrolling duty on 22.02.2026 at about 10.00 a.,m they found the petitioner in possession of a deadly weapon, in a public place and also threatened the public.



Further, he was apprehended along with the weapon, and in consequence thereof, a case was registered against him.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that he was arrested on 22.02.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 16 days and that most of the investigation has been completed and that the earlier application was dismissed by this Court on 03.03.2026 and that if the petitioner is released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not abscond and hence, he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.02.2026 and that the property has been recovered and that the earlier application was dismissed on 03.03.2026 and that four witnesses have been examined. He further submitted that six previous cases are pending against the petitioner for the offences u/s.506(ii) , 436 IPC and 351(3), 303(2) BNS including section 12 of TNG Act, 3 of PPDL Act and he was declared as History Sheeter Rowdy and he is a repeated offender and that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is every possibility that he would indulge in similar offence again.

5. Earlier application was dismissed on 03.03.2026 and the petitioner is in



judicial custody for more than 15 days and that there are criminal antecedents against the petitioner. However, considering that the period of incarceration and also that substantial part of the investigation is complete, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following stringent conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of a bond for a sum Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I,Ramanathapuram;**

ii) that the petitioner shall produce **undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;**

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) Considering the facts of the previous cases, the petitioner **shall report before the Inspector of Police, Melur Police Station, Madurai District daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
09.03.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“<https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
Inspector of police, Melur P.S., Madurai Disitric,
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)