



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Tuesday, the 13th day of March 2026

**Cr.L.M.P.No.436/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000753-2026)**

Jovith Priya, (aged 28),
W/o.Arul Palraj.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Elanchempur P.S.,
in Cr.No.18/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 05.03.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Vadivel, M.Com., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A2 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.18/2026 for the offences punishable U/s. 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), 109 of BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a previous enmity between both the parties. Due to which, on 03.03.2026 at about 4.15 p.m, the defaco complainant was walking in front of the petitioner's house, the petitioner and her husband way-laid him and abused him in filthy language and attacked with sickle and



kalaikotthu (கலைகொத்து) on his head, backside and left hand and caused injures and also threatened with dire consequences and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that most of the investigation has been completed and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there are totally 2 accused are involved in this case and that the petitioner is arrayed as A2 and that A1 is in judicial custody. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital 20.03.2026 and that nine witnesses have been examined and that no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from hospital and that major part of the investigation is over and that there is no antecedents against the petitioner, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions;



6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that she shall make available herself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in



accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 24th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
24.03.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site, “<https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Elanchempur PS,
The petitioner through her Counsel.