



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M.,
PG.D.PM/IR, Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Friday, the 06th day of March 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.430/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000743-2026)**

Kannan, (aged 53),
S/o. Manickam.

....Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Erwadi Dharga P.S.,
in Cr.No.43/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 04.03.2026 U/s..482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Ms.Janci Rani, B.E., L.L.M.,. the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A4 who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.43/2026 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.303(2) of BNS r/w section 21(1) MMDR Act, seek anticipatory bail.

2.According to the prosecution, on 21.02.2026 at about 20:00 hours, the respondent police, while conducting vehicle inspection, intercepted a Torus tipper lorry bearing Registration No. TN 95 L 3848. Upon inspection, they found that the



petitioner and 3 others had illegally transported 6 units of red sand without any valid permit or licence. It is further stated that the petitioner was following the said lorry on a two-wheeler bearing Registration No. TN 65 BF 4452. On seeing the police, the petitioner fled from the place of occurrence. A1 to A3 were apprehended by the police along with the properties, and consequently, a case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that he is in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that the properties involved in this case have already been secured by the respondent police and most of the investigation is over and that co-accused were released on bail and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 4 accused involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A4 and others were released on bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.370/2026 on 02.03.2026. He further submitted that the properties (Torus Lorry, Two wheeler and 6 unit of red sand) involved in this case have been secured. He further submitted that 8 witnesses have been examined and that no previous case against the petitioner. However, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he would indulge in similar offence again.



5.The sand involved in this case is not river sand. However, considering the nature of the offence, the fact that the investigation is not yet complete against this petitioner, and despite the securing of properties, this Court cannot entertain to grant anticipatory bail in similar nature of offence and that it would affect that the environmental resources of this country. Therefore, the petition is dismissed.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 06th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram,
06.03.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Erwadi Dharga P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.