



**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M.,  
PG.D.PM/IR, Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,  
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

**Friday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026**

**CrI.M.P.No.429/2026  
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000739-2026)**

1. Rajamanickam, (aged 51),  
S/o.Rajan.

2. Jeyasuriya, (aged 29),  
S/o.Murugan.

....Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,  
**in Cr.No.103/2026.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 04.03.2026 U/s..482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.U.Ramesh Babu, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioners/A1, A2 who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.103/2026 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.303(2) of BNS, r/w section 21(1) MMDR Act, seek anticipatory bail.



2. According to the prosecution, at the time of patrolling duty involved by the respondent police on 03.03.2026 at about 14.30 hours and intercepted a Tractor bearing Reg.No.TN 65 AH 6032 they found that the petitioners have illegally transported one unit of savadu sand at Govt.Pruamboke land without getting any permit or licence. On seeing the police, both were fled away from the place of occurrence by leaving the vehicle and the properties have been secured along with vehicle and in consequence, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and that they are in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that the properties involved in this case have already been secured by the respondent police and most of the investigation is over and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended the properties involved in this case ( one unit of savadu sand and Tractor ) have been secured by the police and that the investigation is not yet completed. He further submitted that similar nature of two previous cases are pending against the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner and he is the owner of the vehicle and if they are released on anticipatory bail, they would threaten the witnesses and they would indulge in similar offence again.



5.The sand involved in this case is savadu sand. However, considering the nature of the offence, the fact that the investigation is still ongoing, and despite the securing of properties, this Court cannot entertain to grant anticipatory bail in similar nature of offence and that it would affect that the environmental resources of this country and also that there are similar nature of previous cases pending against the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 06<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.**

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram,  
06.03.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,  
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ *\* Visit [ecourts.gov.in](https://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,  
The Petitioners through their Counsel.