



**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN**, B.L.M.,L.L.M.,PG.D.PM/IR,  
Dip.in.JJ & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,  
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

**Friday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026**

**Cr.M.P.No.434/2026**  
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000738-2026)

Meharajkhan, (age not mentioned)  
S/o.Abdul Hakim.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police  
Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram.  
**in Cr.No.300/2025**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 04.03.2026 prays to modify the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.G.Kesavan, the Learned Legal Aid Defense Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan B.A.B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following...

**ORDER**

The petitioner filed the petition u/s. 483(1)(b) BNSS to modify the condition No.(i) bail in Crl.M.P.No.15/2026 dated 06.01.2026 passed before this Court.

2. The Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had



already obtained bail before this Court in CrI.M.P.No.15/2026 dated 06.01.2026 with certain conditions. One of the condition is that, the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram and that after obtained the order the petitioner could not able to produce the sureties and he is in Judicial custody from 10.12.2025. Further he contended that the petitioner belongs to poor family hence he is unable to engage private counsel and so he seeks through Legal Aid. He further submitted that since the petitioner has no family members, he is unable to comply the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram. Now the petitioner seeking to modify the condition No.(i) may be modified as on execution of own bond instead of execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each .

3. The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that this court has already granted bail to the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.15/2026 dated 06.01.2026 and he did not produce the sureties before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram and he is in judicial custody from 10.12.2025.

4. On considering the both side submissions and nature of offence and also that the petitioner is in judicial custody for more than 85 days as he is not able to produce the sureties before the concerned. Considering the long incarceration of the



petitioner this court is inclined to modify the condition No.(i) which was imposed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.15/2026 dated 06.01.2026 is modified to the effect that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram.

In the result, the condition is modified that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram and the other conditions in the above said order shall remain intact.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the **06<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.**

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
06.03.2026.

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,  
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- *\* Visit [ecourts.gov.in](https://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,  
The Petitioner through his counsel.  
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram. (through e-mail)