



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.DDF.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Friday, the 06th day of March 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.322/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000531-2026)**

Azhagar Manikandan, (aged 31),
S/o.Govindharaj.

...Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
in Cr.No.338/2025

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 16.02.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru. S.J.Sheik Ibrahim, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A4 who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.02.2026 in Cr.No.338/2025 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.126(2), 296(b), 103(1) of BNS @ u/s 126(2), 296(b), 103(1), 49, 238 of BNS, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the father of



the deceased. There was previous enmity between the deceased and the petitioner and also A1. The deceased, Karthikeyan, and his friend were working as flex operators. On 11.11.2025, at about 10.05 p.m., after completing their work, they were talking in front of Pothy Coconut Shop. At that time, A1 to A3 allegedly came there on a two-wheeler and questioned the deceased regarding A4. They abused the deceased in filthy language and attempted to attack him. The deceased ran away from the spot, and A1 to A3 allegedly chased him and attacked him with deadly weapons on Senguptha Street, causing injuries on the back side of his head, hand, and wrist, resulting in his death. Thereafter, the deceased's friend informed the defacto complainant about the incident, and based on his complaint, the present case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence and that the petitioner has voluntarily surrendered before the police 11.02.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 24 days . He further submitted that co-accused A2 was released on bail by this court in Crl.M.P.No.113/2026 on 28.01.2026 and that the final report has been filed. He further submitted that if the petitioner is released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this Court and he would not threaten the witnesses and abscond and hence, he prays to release the petitioner



on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that initially FIR was registered u/s.126(2), 296(b), 103(1) of BNS and after @ u/s 126(2), 296(b), 103(1), 49, 238 of BNS. There are totally 4 accused were involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A4 and A2 was released on bail by this Court on 28.01.2026 and others are in judicial custody. He further submitted that the petitioner voluntarily surrendered before the concerned Judicial Magistrate on 11.02.2026 and he was arrested and remanded to judicial custody. He further submitted that only overt act against this petitioner is u/s. **120(B)** of IPC and there is no direct overt act and that charge sheet is not yet filed and in case of necessity, this petitioner would be taken into police custody for investigation. He further submitted that two previous cases pending against the petitioner for the offences u/s.395, 397, 379 IPC and he is declared as History Sheeted Rowdy and has objected to release the petitioner on bail at this stage.

5. Considering the gruesome manner which the crime was executed and that there is serious allegations that this petitioner/accused has organized the said crime and has been charged for the offence u/s.120(B) of IPC and since the prosecution has not complete the investigation and would require the petitioner in police custody and that the petitioner is in judicial custody for 24 days only. Considering the above, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the

TNRM010005312026



petition is dismissed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 06th days of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
06.03.2026

*> This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

*> * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy sent through e-mail

To

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM010005312026

