

TNRM010005312026



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.DDF.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Wednesday, the 25th day of February 2026

CrI.M.P.No.322/2026

(CNR No. TNRM-01-000531-2026)

Azhagar Manikandan, (aged 31),
S/o.Govindharaj.

...Petitioner/Accused No.4.

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
in Cr.No.338/2025

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 16.02.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru. S.J.Sheik Ibrahim, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A4 who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.02.2026 in Cr.No.338/2025 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.126(2), 296(b), 103(1) of BNS @ u/s 126(2), 296(b), 103(1), 49, 238 of BNS, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the father of



the deceased. There was previous enmity between the deceased and A1 and A4. The deceased, Karthikeyan, and his friend were working as flex operators. On 11.11.2025, at about 10.05 p.m., after completing their work, they were talking in front of Pothy Coconut Shop. At that time, A1 to A3 allegedly came there on a two-wheeler and questioned the deceased regarding A4. They abused the deceased in filthy language and attempted to attack him. The deceased ran away from the spot, and A1 to A3 allegedly chased him and attacked him with deadly weapons on Senguptha Street, causing injuries on the back side of his head, hand, and wrist, resulting in his death. Thereafter, the deceased's friend informed the defacto complainant about the incident, and based on his complaint, the present case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence and that the petitioner has voluntarily surrendered before the police 11.02.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 15 days . He further submitted that co-accused A2 was released on bail by this court in CrI.M.P.No.113/2026 on 28.01.2026 and that the final report has been filed in this case and there is no necessity for the continued incarceration of the accused. He has also filed copies of medical records showing that the petitioner's wife is in an advanced stage of pregnancy, and that his brother's marriage was fixed. However, as the marriage has already been solemnized on 22.02.2026, that ground does not exist. He further submitted that if the petitioner is



released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this Court and he would not threaten the witnesses and abscond and hence, he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that initially FIR was registered u/s.126(2), 296(b), 103(1) of BNS and after @ u/s 126(2), 296(b), 103(1), 49, 238 of BNS. There are totally 4 accused were involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A4 and A2 was released on bail by this Court on 28.01.2026 and others are in judicial custody. He further submitted that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.02.2026 and that he is the main accused who had conspired carry out the offence and there are two previous cases pending against the petitioner for the offences u/s.395. 397, 379 IPC and he is declared as History Sheeted rowdy. Even though charge sheet is filed, he contended that if need the petitioner may be taken in police custody and additional charge sheet shall be filed.

5. Considering nature of offence and short period of incarceration and the fact that the charge sheet is filed and there is every possibility that the police have required custody of the accused for further investigation and also taking into account that the accused is in custody only for 15 days and the gravity of the offence, this court is not inclined to grant bail. However, considering that his wife is in advanced stage of pregnancy and is expected to deliver child on one or two days, this Court, on humanitarian consideration, is inclined to grant interim bail for a period of five (5)

TNRM010005312026



days [i.e from **26.02.2026** to **02.03.2026** till evening] with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of own bond for a sum **Rs.5,000/-(Rupees Five Thousand only)** before the **Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram;**

ii) that the petitioner shall be permitted to accompany with his wife at the hospital for delivery;

iv) that the petitioner/accused shall surrender before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station on or before 02.03.2026 at 5.00 p.m and in turn the respondent police to produce the petitioner immediately before the Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.

Call on 03.03.2026.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 25th day of February 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
25.02.2026

Copy sent through e-mail

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,

The petitioner through his Counsel.

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.