

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, PUDUKKOTTAI
PRESENT : Thiru.K.Poorana Jeya Anand, M.A., M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Pudukkottai.
Friday the 12th day of June 2026
Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No:1563/2026
{CNR No.TNPD01-0027772026}

Aasik @ Aasik Mohamed, S/o. Jafarulla.

.....Petitioner/Accused

/Versus/

State of Tamil Nadu represented by the Sub-Inspector of Police,
Keeranur Police Station,
Cr.No. 164/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.T.A.Omprakash, Learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.B.Venkatesan, on behalf of the Complainant and this Court passed the following,

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner U/s. 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is being charged for the offences U/s.123 of BNS r/w 21(a), 27(a) NDPS Act in Cr.No.164/2026 of Keeranur Police Station and he has not committed any offences as alleged and he has been falsely implicated in this case . He further submits that there is no anticipatory bail application pending before the Hon'ble Madras High Court and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

Per contra, the Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 07.06.2026 the petitioner herein was in possession of TP Fast-100 tablet Hydrochloric acid -125 tablets, Sodium Chloride IP -100 ML and Syringe -02 Nos and the accused was in habits of selling the said tablets to others to enrich themselves and the properties were recovered, but, the investigation is still pending and hence, he strongly opposed to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

With regard to anticipatory bail, this court relied upon a case law reported in AIR 1980 SC. 1632 rendering by our Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in a case of Shri Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia Vs.State of Punjab, wherein it has been held as follows:-

“The nature and seriousness of the proposed charges, the context of the events likely to lead to the making of the charges, a reasonable possibility of the applicant's presence not being secured at the trial, a reasonable apprehension that witnesses will be tampered with and "the larger interests of the public or the state" are some of the considerations which the court has to keep in mind while deciding an application for anticipatory bail.”

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Records perused. On 07.06.2026 the petitioner herein was in possession of TP Fast-100 tablet Hydorchloric acid -125 tablets, Sodiam Chloride IP -100 ML and Syringe -02 Nos and the accused was in habits of selling the said tablets to others to enrich themselves and the properties were recovered. Strong objection was raised on the side of the prosecution. By considering the above aspects, other facts and circumstances of the case, grave nature of the offence, the circumstances under which, the offence was committed and in view of the decision cited supra and strong objections raised on the side of the prosecution, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner at this stage.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 12th day of June' 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Pudukkottai.**

Copy to

The Counsel for the petitioner.