

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, PUDUKKOTTAI**

**PRESENT : Tmt. N.S. Meenachandra, B.A., L.L.M.,**

**Additional District Judge, Pudukkottai.**

**Principal Sessions Judge, Pudukkottai (I/C).**

**Tuesday the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026**

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No:703/2026

{CNR No.TNPD010012692026}

\*\*\*\*\*

Ragavi, D/o. Krishnamurthy.

.....Petitioner/2nd Accused

/Versus/

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police,  
Vallathirakkottai Police Station,  
Cr.No.20/2026.

.... Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.P.Ramaraj, Learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.B.Venkatesan, on behalf of the Complainant and this Court passed the following,

**ORDER**

This e-petition is filed by the petitioner U/s.482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS)-2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is being charged for offences U/s. 191(2), 296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS Act in Cr. No.20/2026 of Vallathirakkottai Police Station and he has not committed any offences as alleged and he has been falsely implicated in this case and also submits that the injured person was discharged from the hospital. He further submits that there is no anticipatory bail application pending before the Hon'ble Madras High Court and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 24.02.2026 the accused persons and the petitioner herein made a quarrel with the de-facto complainant, they used filthy languages as against the defacto complainant caused injury to the de-facto complainant

and threatened to kill him. He further submits that the injured person was discharged from the hospital, but, the investigation is still pending and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Records perused. On 24.02.2026 the accused persons and the petitioner herein made a quarrel with the de-facto complainant, they used filthy languages as against the defacto complainant, caused injury to the de-facto complainant and threatened to kill him. The injured person is said to have discharged from the hospital in this case. The only non-bailable offence Sec.118(1) of BNS Act. It seems that the material part of investigation would have been almost completed by this time. By considering the above aspects, other facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offences and in view of the decision cited supra and in the absence of any serious objection on the side of the prosecution, this court is of the view that the petitioner can be granted anticipatory bail.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is allowed with the following conditions:-

- 1) In the event of arrest or on his appearance before the Jurisdictional Magistrate, the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on him executing a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/-(Rupees twenty thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Jurisdictional Magistrate,
- 2) The petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and also submit a copy of their Aadhaar Card or any other identity card issued by the Government in proof of their identity,
- 3) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two months (including holidays) from the next day of execution of bond before the Jurisdictional Magistrate, without fail and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation,.
- 4) The petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated u/s 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023 scrupulously,
- 5) The petitioner shall surrender before the Jurisdictional Magistrate within 30 days from the date of this order, failing which, this anticipatory bail order shall stand cancelled automatically without any further reference to this court.
- 6) On breach of any of the above said conditions, the Jurisdictional Magistrate is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala reported in (2005) AIR SC W 5560

- 7) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS and
- 8) The Station House Officer of Respondent P.S. is directed to send a report to this court as to compliance of reporting condition by the petitioner.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge(i/c),  
Pudukkottai.**

**Copy to:**

The Judicial Magistrate No-I Pudukkottai.  
The Inspector of Police, Vallathirakkottai P.S.,  
The Counsel for the petitioner.