

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, PUDUKKOTTAI

PRESENT : Thiru.K.Poorana Jeya Anand, M.A., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Pudukkottai.

Wednesday the 11th day of March, 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No:699/2026

{CNR No.TNPD01-0012662026}

Sabthagirivasan, S/o. Azhaguraj.

....Petitioner/Accused

/Versus/

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police,
Keeramangalam Police Station,
Cr.No.44/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.A.Karuppaiah, Learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.B.Venkatesan, on behalf of the Complainant and this Court passed the following,

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner U/s. 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023, praying for bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is being charged for the offences U/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 310(2), 311 BNS Act in Cr.No.44/2026 of Keeramangalam Police Station and he has not committed any offences as alleged and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 05.03.2026 and also submits that the injured person was discharged from the hospital and he is ready to abide any condition imposed by this Court and hence, he seeks bail for the petitioner.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 03.03.2026 totally eight accused persons including the petitioner herein they had used filthy languages as against the defacto complainant they caused injuries to the defacto complainant by using iron rod and beer bottle and wooden log and they snatched the money and Cell phone and also attempted to commit murder him. He further submits that the injured person is still taking treatment as an in-patient, that the investigation is in preliminary stage and

hence, he opposed to grant bail in favour of the petitioner.

With regard to bail, this court relied upon a case law reported in AIR 1978 SC. 179 rendering by our Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in a case of "GURCHARAN SINGH Vs. STATE, wherein it has been held as follows:-

"We may repeat the two paramount considerations, viz. likelihood of the accused fleeing from justice and his tampering with prosecution evidence relate to ensuring a fair trial of the case in a court of justice. It is essential that due and proper weight should be bestowed on these two factors apart from others. There cannot be an inexorable formula in the matter of granting bail. The facts and circumstances of each case will govern the exercise of judicial discretion in granting or cancelling bail."

Both sides' contentions are taken into consideration. The occurrence is said to have taken place in between the parties, on 03.03.2026 totally eight accused persons including the petitioner herein they had used filthy languages as against the defacto complainant they caused injuries to the defacto complainant by using iron rod and beer bottle and wooden log and they snatched the money and Cell phone and also attempted to commit murder him. The injured person is still taking treatment as an in-patient. It seems that the investigation is in preliminary stage. The accused is in custody only from 05.03.2026. Strong objections are raised on the side of the prosecution. By considering all these facts and circumstances of the case, grave nature of offences, period of incarceration and strong objections raised on the side of the prosecution, this court is of the view that it is not desirable to release the petitioner on bail at this stage. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

In the result, this Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 11th day of March' 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Pudukkottai.**

Copy to

The Counsel for the petitioner.