

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PUDUKKOTTAI**

**PRESENT : Thiru.K.Poorana Jeya Anand, M.A., M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Pudukkottai.**

Saturday the 07th day of March 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No:632/2026

{CNR No.TNPD01-0011322026}

Annapoorani, W/o.Nagalingam,

....Petitioner/Accused

/Versus/

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police,
Vallathirakkottai Police Station,
Cr.No.20/2026

....Respondent/Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.P.Ramaraj, Learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner and of Tmt.K.Sangeetha, Learned Advocate appearing for the Intervener/defacto complainant and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.B.Venkatesan, on behalf of the Complainant and this Court passed the following,

ORDER

This e-petition is filed by the petitioner U/s.482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS)-2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is being charged for offences U/s. 191(2), 296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS in Cr.No.20/2026 of Vallathirakkottai Police Station and she has not committed any offences as alleged and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there is no anticipatory bail application pending before the Hon'ble Madras High Court and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

The defacto complainant has filed an intervenor application through his learned counsel who has submitted that the victim is still taking treatment as an inpatient and he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 24.02.2026 the petitioner herein had assaulted the defacto complainant and his sister with a stick. He further submits that the injured person is still taking treatment as an inpatient in Pudukkottai Medical College Hospital, but, the investigation is still pending and hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

With regard to anticipatory bail, this court relied upon a case law reported in AIR 1980 SC. 1632 rendering by our Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in a case of Shri Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia Vs.State of Punjab, wherein it has been held as follows:-

“The nature and seriousness of the proposed charges, the context of the events likely to lead to the making of the charges, a reasonable possibility of the applicant's presence not being secured at the trial, a reasonable apprehension that witnesses will be tampered with and "the larger interests of the public or the state" are some of the considerations which the court has to keep in mind while deciding an application for anticipatory bail.”

Both side arguments heard. Records perused. The occurrence is said to have taken place in between the parties and this petitioner made a quarrel with the de-facto complainant and his sister and caused injury to the de-facto complainant. It seems that the injured person is still taking treatment as an inpatient and investigation is not yet completed. Strong objections are raised on the side of the prosecution. By considering all these facts and circumstances of the case, grave nature of offences and strong objections raised on the side of the prosecution, this court is of the view that it is not desirable to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail at this stage. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 07th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Pudukkottai.

Copy to:

The Counsel for the petitioner.
The Counsel for the intervener.