

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, PUDUKKOTTAI

PRESENT : Thiru.K.Poorana Jeya Anand, M.A., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Pudukkottai.

Saturday the 07th day of March, 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No : 618/2026

{CNR No.TNPD010011092026}

1. Rajendran, S/o. Muthaiah

2. Sathaiah, S/o. Muthaiah

3. Muthaiah S/o. Sathaiah

.....Petitioners / 1to 3 Accused

/Versus/

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police,

Nagudi Police Station,

Cr.No.23/2026.

.... Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru. R. Chelladurai , Learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioners and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.B.Venkatesan, on behalf of the Complainant and this Court passed the following,

ORDER

This e-petition is filed by the petitioners U/s.482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS)-2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are being charged for offences U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS in Cr.No.23/2026 of Nagudi Police Station and they have not committed any offences as alleged and they have been falsely implicated in this case due to civil dispute. The earlier anticipatory bail petition was dismissed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.394/2026 on 13.02.2026, He further submits that there is no anticipatory bail application pending before the Hon'ble Madras High Court and the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 06.02.2026 the accused persons including this petitioners herein they made a quarrel with the de-facto complainant and his wife and had used filthy languages against them and they caused injury to the de-facto complainant and his wife and threatened to kill them. He further submits that the

injured persons namely Periyakaruppan and Lakshmi are still taking treatment as an inpatients at PMCH. But, the investigation is still pending and hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

With regard to anticipatory bail, this court relied upon a case law reported in AIR 1980 SC. 1632 rendering by our Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in a case of Shri Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia Vs.State of Punjab, wherein it has been held as follows:-

“The nature and seriousness of the proposed charges, the context of the events likely to lead to the making of the charges, a reasonable possibility of the applicant's presence not being secured at the trial, a reasonable apprehension that witnesses will be tampered with and "the larger interests of the public or the state" are some of the considerations which the court has to keep in mind while deciding an application for anticipatory bail.”

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Records perused. on 06.02.2026 the accused persons including this petitioners herein they made a quarrel with the de-facto complainant and his wife and had used filthy languages against them and they caused injury to the de-facto complainant and his wife and threatened to kill them. It seems that the injured persons namely Periyakaruppan and Lakshmi are still taking treatment as an inpatients at PMCH. The earlier application was dismissed only on 13.02.2026. The petitioner has not established any change of circumstances to interfere with the earlier order. and investigation is not yet completed. Strong objections are raised on the side of the prosecution. By considering all these facts and circumstances of the case, grave nature of offences and strong objections raised on the side of the prosecution, this court is of the view that it is not desirable to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail at this stage. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 07th day of March, 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Pudukkottai.**

Copy to:

The Counsel for the petitioners.