

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, PUDUKKOTTAI

PRESENT : Thiru.K.Poorana Jeya Anand, M.A., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Pudukkottai.

Saturday the 07th day of March, 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No:617/2026

{CNR No.TNPD01-0011062026}

1. Denial John Kennadi, S/o. Anandhu.

2. Josap Peter Dhanaraj, S/o. Anandhu,

3. Prakash, S/o. Chellamuthu,

.....Petitioners/Accused

/Versus/

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police,

CBCID Police Station, Pudukkottai.

Cr.No.02/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.M.Farook Ali, Learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.B.Venkatesan, on behalf of the Complainant and this Court passed the following,

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioners u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are being charged for the offences u/s 120(B), 420, 465, 468, 471 of IPC r/w Section 3 and 5 of Emblems and Name Act 1950 in Cr.No.02/2025 of Pudukkottai CBCID Police Station and also submits that they have not committed any offences as alleged and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there is no anticipatory bail application pending before the Hon'ble Madras High Court and the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

On the side of the Prosecution, the Learned Public Prosecutor represents that the occurrence took place on 12.03.2013, and that it is a very large scam organized by the petitioners along with other accused, that based

on the case registered against the other accused several persons came to the police for filing complaint against other accused and as per the confession statement given by A1 A.C. Ravichandran, this petitioners are implicated in this as accused and as per the above confession these petitioners were collected the amount from the public and the 1st petitioner has given a sum of Rs. 2 crores and the 2nd petitioner has given a sum of Rs.4 crores and the 3rd petitioner has given a sum of Rs.40 lakh to the 1st accused namely A.C.Ravichandran and several problems came to the police for filing complaint against the petitioners and other accused, that so far they have received 20 complaints from the public, that the accused has also fabricated the Emblem of Reserve Bank of India and State Bank of India thereby created falsification of records thereby cheated several people, that the police is taking steps for taking the other accused for their custody to gather further materials from other the accused by filing a petition, that the cheating of the petitioners and other accused in a similar fashion being unearthed from 43 places, that the FIR were registered in Perambalur and Tirunelveli Districts as against the 1st accused, that the investigation is in a preliminary stage and hence, he strongly opposed to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

With regard to anticipatory bail, this court relied upon a case law reported in AIR 1980 SC. 1632 rendering by our Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in a case of Shri Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia Vs.State of Punjab, wherein it has been held as follows:-

“The nature and seriousness of the proposed charges, the context of the events likely to lead to the making of the charges, a reasonable possibility of the applicant's presence not being secured at the trial, a reasonable apprehension that witnesses will be tampered with and "the larger interests of the public or the state" are some of the considerations which the court has to keep in mind while deciding an application for anticipatory bail.”

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Admittedly, this is a case of cheating and as per the confession statement given by A1 A.C. Ravichandran, this petitioners are implicated in this as accused and as per the above confession these petitioners were collected the amount from the public and the 1st petitioner has given a sum of Rs. 2 crores and the 2nd petitioner has given a sum of Rs.4 crores and the 3rd petitioner has given a sum of Rs.40 lakh to the 1st accused namely A.C.Ravichandran and several

problems came to the police for filing complaint against the petitioners and other accused. It seems that the co-accused namely Ravichandran was released on statutory bail by the Learned Judicial Magistrate No-II, Pudukkottai in CrI.M.P.No.1151/2025 on 11.11.2025, and the co-accused namely Sasikumar was released on statutory bail by the Learned Judicial Magistrate No-II, Pudukkottai in CrI.M.P.No.1303/2025 on 24.12.2025, and the co-accused namely Perumal was released on statutory bail by the Learned Judicial Magistrate No-II, Pudukkottai in CrI.M.P.No.217/2026 on 19.01.2026 and the co-accused namely Jailani was released on statutory bail by this court in CrI.M.P.No.3328/2025 on 13.11.2025, and the co-accused namely Haja Moideen was released on statutory bail by this court in CrI.M.P.No.525/2026 on 24.02.2026 .It seems that the injured person was discharged from the hospital and the material part of investigation would have been almost completed by this time. By considering the above aspects, other facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offences and in view of the decision cited supra and in the absence of any serious objection on the side of the prosecution, this court is of the view that the petitioners can be granted anticipatory bail.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is allowed with the following conditions:-

- 1) In the event of arrest or on their appearance before the Jurisdictional Magistrate, the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Jurisdictional Magistrate,
- 2) The petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and also submit a copy of their Aadhaar Card or any other identity card issued by the Government in proof of their identity,
- 3) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 15 days (including holidays) from the next day of execution of bond before the Jurisdictional Magistrate, without fail and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation,.

- 4) The petitioners shall comply with the conditions stipulated u/s 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023 scrupulously,
- 5) The petitioners shall surrender before the Jurisdictional Magistrate within 30 days from the date of this order, failing which, this anticipatory bail order shall stand cancelled automatically without any further reference to this court.
- 6) On breach of any of the above said conditions, the Jurisdictional Magistrate is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala reported in (2005) AIR SC W 5560
- 7) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS and
- 8) The Station House Officer of Respondent P.S. is directed to send a report to this court as to compliance of reporting condition by the petitioners.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 07th day of March' 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Pudukkottai.**

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No-II, Pudukkottai.

The Inspector of Police, CBCID Police Station, Pudukkottai.

The Counsel for the petitioner.