

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, PUDUKKOTTAI**PRESENT : Thiru.K.Poorana Jeya Anand, M.A., M.L.,****Principal Sessions Judge, Pudukkottai.****Saturday the 07th day of March, 2026**

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No:598/2026

{CNR No.TNPD01-0010712026}

Meyyar, S/o. Raman.

.....Petitioner/Accused

/Versus/

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police,
Aranthangi Police Station,
Cr.No.103/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru. A.Karuppaiah, Learned Advocate appearing for the Petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.B.Venkatesan, on behalf of the Complainant and this Court passed the following,

ORDER

This e-petition is filed by the petitioner U/s.482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS)-2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is being charged for offences U/s. 296(b), 196 of BNS r/w 67 of IT Act in Cr.No.103/2026 of Aranthangi Police Station and he has not committed any offences as alleged and he has been falsely implicated in this case . He further submits that there is no anticipatory bail application pending before the Hon'ble Madras High Court and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 17.02.2026 the accused person herein spread the defamatory statements and posts on social media as against the Leader of the VCK party. He further submits that the petitioner has one previous case in same kind of nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

With regard to anticipatory bail, this court relied upon a case law reported in AIR 1980 SC. 1632 rendering by our Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in a case of Shri Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia Vs.State of Punjab, wherein it has been held as follows:-

“The nature and seriousness of the proposed charges, the context of the events likely to lead to the making of the charges, a reasonable possibility of the applicant's presence not being secured at the trial, a reasonable apprehension that witnesses will be tampered with and "the larger interests of the public or the state" are some of the considerations which the court has to keep in mind while deciding an application for anticipatory bail.”

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Perused the records. As per the prosecution case is that on 17.02.2026 the accused person herein spread the defamatory statements and posts on social media as against the Leader of the VCK party. The petitioner has one previous case in same kind of nature. It seems that the investigation is in preliminary stage. By considering the above aspects, nature and gravity of the offence, the circumstances under which, the offence was committed and in view of the decision cited supra and strong objections raised on the side of the prosecution, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners especially in the early stage of investigation.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 07th day of March, 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Pudukkottai.**

Copy to:

The Counsel for the petitioner.