

THE DISTRICT MUNSIF-CUM-JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT, KUNNAM

Present : Thiru.R.Rajasekaran, L.L.M.,
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate,
Kunnam.

Wednesday, this the 03rd day of June, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.231/2026

Kalishwaran (23 years)
S/o.Dheivasikamani
No.44/C/5, Ganesh Nagar
Thiruppathur, Thiruppathur Taluk
Sivagangai DT

- Petitioner/Accused

/Vs/

The State rep. by
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Manglamedu PS,
Cr.No.204/2026

- Respondent/Complainant

This Petition coming on 03.06.2026 before me for final hearing in the presence of Tr.R.Keerthivasan, learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the Respondent Police representing for the State, upon hearing arguments of Petitioner side and perusing the Records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following..

ORDER

1. This Petition has been filed U/s.480 of BNSS Act, 2023 for granting of Bail to the Petitioner who have been remanded for the alleged offences U/s.126(2), 309(4), 351(3) of BNS @ 126(2), 310(2), 351(3) BNS.

2. The learned counsel for the Petitioner/Accused would submit that the date of occurrence was on 30.04.2026 and the Accused was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.05.2026. He is in the Judicial Custody for the past 12 days. The alleged property has already been recovered by the Respondent, and therefore, no further recovery is required from the Petitioner. That the Petitioner is an innocent person. The Petitioner is in no way connected with the above such occurrence. That the Petitioner is not guilty of any offence and did not commit the said offence and he was wrongly implicated in this case due to previous enmity. That the Petitioner is a law abiding citizen and the Petitioner will not abscond. That the Petitioner is ready to furnish substantial sureties to the satisfaction of this Court to enlarge him on bail. Hence, prays to enlarge him on bail.

3. The Investigation Officer would submit in reply stating that the investigation is still pending. The Accused of this case were involved in Dacoity. There were many cases

pending against the Accused in many districts. And the Accused is involved in Cr.No.131/2026 of Perambalur Rural P.S., to which it is decided to seek P.T.warrant against the Accused, and there are more than 10 persons to be arrested. Further, if the Accused is released on bail, he may commit the same kind of offence and hence, strongly objected to grant bail to the Accused.

4. This Court had carefully considered the rival submissions of both side parties. It is noticed from Records and oral representation of the prosecution, that the Accused was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.05.2026 and he is in judicial custody for past 12 days. The prosecution side stating that the other Accused have to be arrested, the Accused is involved in many cases in many districts and hence, strongly objected to grant bail to the Accused. In this circumstance, considering the nature of the case, the serious objection raised by the Investigation Officer and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, seriousness of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the Petitioner. Accordingly, this Bail Petition stands dismissed.

Directly dictated to the Steno-Typist and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the Open Court, on this, the 03rd day of June, 2026.

District Munsif-cum-Judicial
Magistrate, Kunnam