

THE DISTRICT MUNSIF-CUM-JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT, KUNNAM

Present : Thiru.R.Rajasekaran, L.L.M.,
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate,
Kunnam.

Friday, this the 29th day of May, 2026

Crl.M.P.No.219/2026

1.Antoniraj @ Arokkiyaraj 40/2026

S/o.Masilamani

2.Arokkiyam 45/2026

S/o.Kanikkai

Both are residing at

Pachaiyamman Kovil Street

Pallakalingarayanallur

Kunnam Taluk

Perambalur District

- Petitioners/Accused

/Vs/

The State rep. by

The Sub-Inspector of Police,

Manglamedu PS,

Cr.No.200/2026

- Respondent/Complainant

This Petition coming on 29.05.2026 before me for final hearing in the presence of Tr.P.Kamarasu, learned Counsel for the Petitioners and the Respondent Police representing for the State, upon hearing arguments of Petitioners side and perusing the Records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following..

ORDER

1. This Petition has been filed U/s.480 of BNSS Act, 2023 for granting of Bail to the Petitioners who have been remanded for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS.

2. The learned counsel for the Petitioners/Accused would submit that the Accused were arrested and remanded to Judicial Custody on 25.05.2026. That the Petitioners are not involved in any such alleged offences. They have not connected with this case. That the Petitioners are law abiding citizens and they will not abscond. That the Petitioners are ready to furnish substantial sureties to the satisfaction of this Court to enlarge them on bail. Hence, prays to enlarge them on bail.

3. The Investigation Officer would submit in reply stating that the investigation is still pending. If the Accused are released on bail, they may tamper the witnesses and evidences and may commit the same kind of offences in future. Further, the victim is discharged from Hospital. Hence, strongly objected to grant bail to the Accused.

4. This Court had carefully considered the rival submissions of both side parties. It is noticed from Records and oral representation of the prosecution, that the Petitioners have been in judicial custody for past 4 days. The prosecution side stating that if the Accused are released on bail, they may commit the same kind of offences in future and hence, strongly objected to grant bail to the Accused. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, and considering the period of custody, this Court is inclined to allow this Petition.

5. In the result, this Petition is allowed on subject to the following conditions:

1. Each of the Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties.
2. The sureties shall affix their photograph and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce the copy of the Adhaar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure the identity.
3. Each of the Petitioners shall appear and sign before the Respondent Police Station daily once at 10.00 a.m. till 15 days from the date of release from the prison.
4. Each of the petitioners are directed to Co-operate the investigation and shall not tamper the evidence.

Directly dictated to the Typist and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the Open Court, on this, the 29th day of May, 2026.

District Munsif-cum-Judicial
Magistrate, Kunnam