

THE DISTRICT MUNSIF-CUM-JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT, KUNNAM

Present : Thiru.R.Rajasekaran, L.L.M.,
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate,
Kunnam.

Friday, this the 29th day of May, 2026

Crl.M.P.No.216/2026

Kannan (58/2026)

S/o.Muthusamy

D.No.3777A, Arkadu Road,

Ranjanudi Village

Veppanthattai TK

Perambalur District

- Petitioner/Accused

/Vs/

The State rep. by

The Sub-Inspector of Police,

Manglamedu PS,

Cr.No.239/2026

- Respondent/Complainant

This Petition coming on 29.05.2026 before me for final hearing in the presence of Tr.G.Ajith, learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the Respondent Police representing for the State, upon hearing arguments of Petitioner side and perusing the Records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following..

ORDER

1. This Petition has been filed U/s.480 of BNSS Act, 2023 for granting of Bail to the Petitioner who has been remanded for the alleged offences U/s.4(1)(A), 4(1)(c) of TNP Amendment Act.

2. The learned counsel for the Petitioner/Accused would submit that the date of occurrence was on 25.05.2026. The Accused was arrested and remanded to Judicial Custody on 25.05.2026. That the Petitioner is not involved in any such alleged offences. The Respondent falsely invoked as a Accused in the above said case. There is not way connected with the Petitioner to this case. It is submitted that the case properties were recovered. That the Petitioner is a law abiding citizen and the Petitioner will not abscond. That the Petitioner is ready to furnish substantial sureties to the satisfaction of this Court to enlarge him on bail. Hence, prays to enlarge him on bail.

3. The Investigation Officer would submit in reply stating that the investigation is still pending. That there are many cases under TNP Act were pending against this Petitioner/Accused. In this situation if the Accused is released on bail, he may tamper the evidences and witnesses and he may abscond and may commit the same kind of offences in future. Hence, strongly objected to grant bail to the Accused.

4. This Court had carefully considered the rival submissions of both side parties. It is noticed from Records and oral representation of the prosecution, that the Petitioner has been in judicial custody for past 4 days. The prosecution side stating that if the Accused is released on bail, he may commit the same kind of offences in future, also there are many cases pending against the Accused and hence, strongly objected to grant bail to the Accused. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, and considering the period of custody, this Court is inclined to allow this Petition.

5. In the result, this Petition is allowed on subject to the following conditions:

1. The Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties.
2. The sureties shall affix their photograph and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce the copy of the Adhaar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure the identity.
3. The Petitioner shall appear and sign before the Respondent Police Station daily once at 10.00 a.m. till 15 days from the date of release from the prison.
4. The petitioner is directed to Co-operate the investigation and shall not tamper the evidence.

Directly dictated to the Typist and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the Open Court, on this, the 29th day of May, 2026.

District Munsif-cum-Judicial
Magistrate, Kunnam