

THE DISTRICT MUNSIF-CUM-JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT, KUNNAM

Present : Thiru.R.Rajasekaran, L.L.M.,  
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate,  
Kunnam.

Friday, this the 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.131/2026**

Sakthivel @ Sivasakthi 18/2026

S/o.Selvam  
Pennakonam Village  
Kunnam Taluk  
Perambalur DT

- Petitioner/Accused

/Vs/

The State rep. by  
The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Mangalamedu PS,  
Cr.No.119/2026

- Respondent/Complainant

This Petition coming on 13.03.2026 before me for final hearing in the presence of Tr.K.N.Ramasamy, learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the Respondent Police representing for the State, upon hearing arguments of Petitioner side and perusing the Records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following..

**ORDER**

1. This Petition has been filed U/s.480 of BNSS Act, 2023 for granting of Bail to the Petitioner who has been remanded for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 115(2), 127(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.
2. The learned counsel for the Petitioner/Accused would submit that the date of occurrence is 02.03.2026. That the Petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.03.2026. That the Petitioner is an innocent person and he is in no way connected with the above such occurrence. That the Petitioner/Accused is not guilty of any such offence and did not commit the said offence and he is wrongly implicated in this case. That the Petitioner is a law abiding citizen and the Petitioner/Accused will not abscond. That the Petitioner is ready to furnish substantial sureties to the satisfaction of this Court to enlarge him on bail. Hence, prays to enlarge him on bail.
3. The Investigation Officer would submit in reply stating that the Accused using his community power, disrespected the victim. There is a chance for creating problem to the victim. In this situation if the Accused is released on bail, he may tamper the evidences and witnesses and he may abscond without appearing before the Court. Further, the victim is

discharged from the Hospital on 09.03.2026. Hence, strongly objected to grant bail to the Accused.

4. This Court had carefully considered the rival submissions of both side parties. It is noticed from Records and oral representation of the prosecution, that the Petitioner has been in judicial custody for past 11 days. The prosecution side stating that if the Accused is released on bail, he may create problem to the victim and hence, strongly objected to grant bail to the Accused. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, and considering the period of custody, considering the fact that the victim is discharged from the Hospital, this Court is inclined to allow this Petition.

5. In the result, this Petition is allowed on subject to the following conditions:

1. The Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties.
2. The sureties shall affix their photograph and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce the copy of the Adhaar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure the identity.
3. The Petitioner shall appear and sign before the Respondent Police Station daily once at 10.00 a.m. till 15 days from the date of release from the prison.
4. The petitioner is directed to Co-operate the investigation and shall not tamper the evidence.

Directly dictated to the Steno-Typist and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the Open Court, on this, the 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

District Munsif-cum-Judicial  
Magistrate, Kunnam