

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VEPPANTHATTAI

Present: Thiru. R. Rajasekaran., L.L.M.,
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Kunnam.
(FAC) District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court,
Veppanthattai.

Thursday the 12th Day of March 2026
Crl.M.P.No.32/2026 in Crime No.235/2025
(On the file of the Arumbavur P.S)

Dinesh @ Dineshkumar, Aged 26/2026

S/o. Subramaniyan
289, Railroad Near,
Sarvoyputhur Village and Post,
Attur Taluk, Salem District.

...Petitioner /Accused

/Vs/

The State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Arumbavur P.S.,
Cr.No.235/2025

...Respondent/Complainant.

This petition coming before me on 12.03.2026 for final hearing in the presence of Thiru. V. Kumaravel, Advocate appearing for petitioner and Respondent Police representing for state, Upon hearing arguments of both parties, perusing the records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following,

ORDER

1) The petitioner has filed this application under section 480 of BNSS Act 2023 seeking bail for the offence U/s. 317(2), 303(2) of BNS.

2) The petitioner counsel would submit that petitioner was remanded to Judicial custody by this Court on 31.01.2026 with the false allegation and that the petitioner has been Judicial custody for past 41 days and the petitioner is an innocent and that bail may be granted to the petitioner. The Police would submit through his reply, that the said case investigation is still pending. If he released on bail, there is possibility to abscond and commit the same kind of offences and tampering the witnesses and he has previous cases in same Station. Hence police strongly objects to the accused released on bail.

3) This court has carefully considered the rival submissions of both parties. On careful perusal of records, it appears that the Petitioner/Accused is under the custody for the past 24 days. It is objected that if released on bail he would commit similar offence and he has also previous cases on Same Police Station. Further, the Investigation is still pending.

4) In the supra matters and it is pertinent to note that the accused alleged to have involved in the offence. Further, the investigation is averred to be pending. Therefore, this court not inclined to grant bail to the Petitioner.

5) In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Directly dictated to Steno typist & typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court on this 12th day of March 2026.

Sd/- R. Rajasekaran
(FAC) District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Veppanthattai.