

IN THE ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT, PERAMBALUR

Present : Tmt.G.Reshma, B.Sc., B.L.,
Judicial Magistrate,
Additional Mahila Court, Perambalur.

Wednesday, this the 15th day of July, 2026.

M.P.No.14/2026 in C.C.No.212/2020

1. Udhayakumar
2. Rajagopal
3. Balakumar
4. Parvathi
5. Bharathi

... Petitioners/Accused.

/Vs/

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Padalur P.S.
Cr.No.44/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant.

The F.I.R. in the above said case was taken as C.C.No.212/2020 and the petitioners/accused filed this petition to close the case as compromised with the complainant and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following..

ORDER

A compromise petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused under Section 320 Cr.P.C. stating that the dispute between the parties has been amicably settled and requesting this Court to record the compromise and close the case.

Heard the defacto complainant and the accused. Perused the compromise petition and case records.

The offences alleged against the accused are under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324(2 counts) 506(ii) of IPC and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act(2 counts).

As per Section 320 Cr.P.C., only offences specified therein are compoundable.

Among the alleged offences, Sections 294(b) of IPC and 4 of TNPHW Act is non-compoundable in nature, and this Court has no jurisdiction to permit compounding of such offences.

It is well settled that in respect of non-compoundable offences, the proper remedy of the parties is to approach the Hon'ble High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of proceedings on the basis of compromise.

In view of the above legal position, the compromise petition filed under Section 320 Cr.P.C. cannot be entertained.

In the result, the compromise petition is dismissed.

Directly dictated to the Steno-Typist Gr.III, typed by her and corrected and pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 15th day of July, 2026.

Judicial Magistrate,
Additional Mahila Court,
Perambalur.