

IN THE ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT, PERAMBALUR

Present : Tmt.G.Reshma, B.Sc., B.L.,

Judicial Magistrate,

Additional Mahila Court, Perambalur.

Monday, this the 08^h day of June, 2026

CrI.M.P.No. 676/2026

1. Anantharaj, (Aged 27/2026)
S/o. Selvaraj,
2. Ajithkumar, (Aged 22/2026)
S/o. Venkatachalam,
3. Dharmadurai, (Aged 24/2026)
S/o. Palanivel,
4. Rajinivel, (Aged 30/2026)
S/o. Sannai,
5. Ashok, (Aged 32/2026)
S/o. Selvarasu,

All are residing at

Keelaperambalur Village,
Kunnam Taluk,
Perambalur District.

...Petitioners /Accused

/Vs/

The State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kunnam P.S.,
Cr.No.209/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Manikandan, Age 30/2026,
S/o. Palanivel,
East street, Keelaperambalur village,

Kunnam Taluk,
Perambalur District

....Intervenor

This petition coming before me on 06.06.2026 for final hearing in the presence of Thiru. G. Selvam, Advocate appearing for petitioner and Respondent Police representing for state today appear, R.D. Rajavel Advocate appearing for intervenor. Upon hearing arguments of both parties, perusing the records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following,

ORDER

1) The Petitioners have filed this application under section 480 of BNSS Act 2023 seeking bail for the offence U/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 74 BNS Act – 2023.

2) The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused would submit that the petitioners were remanded to judicial custody by this Court on 02.06.2026. Petitioners/accused are in no way connected with the offence but under false pretext They have been implicated at the instigation of their enemies. The petitioners/accused are innocent persons and law abiding citizens. They never indulges in any such antisocial activities. The Petitioners/Accused are the only bread winners of their family. His absence would work much havoc upon the Petitioners/Accused and his family. The Petitioners/Accused undertakes that they will not abscond or avoid trial and he will not tamper with the witnesses. The Petitioners/Accused declares that he has not moved any other court for bail especially the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Chennai. Hence, prays to enlarge him on bail.

3) Both the Investigation officer and learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit in reply stating that the Investigation is pending. Other 5 accused are absconded. Deadly weapon used by accused. Wheather defacto complainant “discharged or not? ”. If this accused are released on bail they may try to abscond and tamper the witness and destroy the evidence. Hence prosecution strongly oppose this petition. Specifically prosecution strongly oppose offence against women and children. Kindly may dismiss this petition.

4) The intervener has stated in his petition that on 30.05.2026 at around 8.30 PM at night, the accused went near his wife and committed sexual assault. Further, all the accused joined together, spoke obscenely, attacked with murderous intent using weapons, and threatened us with death. And on 03.06.2026 at around 2 PM in the afternoon, the other accused who are absconding threatened us saying "If you do not withdraw the complaint, we will get people and eliminate you". It is also stated that committing criminal acts is not new for these accused. Hence he objected this bail petition. Moreover if the petition is allowed there is chance to abscond the accused and tampering the witnesses. Hence strongly object the bail petition.

5) This court has carefully considered the rival submissions of both parties. It is noticed from the records and oral representation of the Petitioners/Accused side, the Petitioner/Accused has been in judicial custody for past 07 days. The Respondent Police Stated that the victim was discharged from the hospital. Therefore the objection raised by the Police side is not sustained. Further the intervenor made objection that if the petitioners/accused released on bail there is a chance to threatened the witnesses warning dire consequences and thus attempted to tamper the witness and evidence. On considering the above objection it is an only on the presumption. He was already 07 days in judicial custody. Therefore for the above reason all objection raised by the intervenor as well as the prosecution side not sustained. The intervene petition is dismissed. Hence considering the nature and factual position of the case this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with following conditions:-

1. The Petitioners/Accused shall execute a bond for Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties each for like sum.

2. The Petitioners/Accused should appear and sign before Kunnam police station daily at 08.30 A.M. for 45 days.

3. The Petitioners/Accused shall not tampering the witnesses and shall cooperate with the investigation officer whenever he requires.

Bond.

4. The Petitioners/Accused shall not breach any of the conditions in the bail

Directly dictated to Steno Typist & typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court on this 08th day of June 2026.

Judicial Magistrate,
Additional Mahila Court,
Perambalur.