

IN THE COURT OF SMALL CAUSES, AT MUMBAI

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT - 01

IN

R.A.D. & E. SUIT NO.873 OF 2018

Shri. Dilip @ Dilip Kumar Ramdayal Sharma ... Plaintiff.

Versus

Rajni Satyaprakash Sharma & Ors. ... Defendants.

Coram:-K. R. Rajput

Judge, C.R.No.25

Date : 29/03/2022

: ORDER :

The plaintiff is present with his counsel. The defendants and their advocates are absent when called repeatedly. Earlier this suit was called out at 2:57 p.m. However, as the defendants and their advocates were absent, the suit was kept back in the interest of justice with a hope that they may turn up and proceed further. However, in vain. Thereafter, again the suit is called out at 3.40 p.m. and as the defendants and their advocates, if any were absent, hence this court proceeded with application below (exhibit 30). Same came to be decided and allowed. It is now 3.45 p.m. However, no one has appeared for the defendants. Shri Akash Warang, the learned counsel with advocate Shri Khambete for the plaintiff are present and submits to proceed further. Accordingly, the record

is perused and it reveals from the record that defendant no.5 is duly served vide paper publication. However, defendant no. 5 has utterly failed to appear. Hence, the suit do proceed ex-parte against defendant no. 5.

Mumbai
Dt. 29/03/2022

(K. R. Rajput)
Judge, C. R. No.25