

IN THE ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT, PERAMBALUR

Present : Tmt.G.Reshma, B.Sc., B.L.,

Judicial Magistrate,

Additional Mahila Court, Perambalur.

Wednesday, this the 11th day of March, 2026

Crl.M.P. No.344/2026 in C.C.No.284/2019

Karnanithi,

- Petitioner/ Accused

/Vs/

State Rep. by
The Sub Inspector of Police
Arumbavur PS
Cr.No.99/2019

- Respondent/Complainant

The F.I.R. in the above said case was taken as CC. No.284/2019 and the Petitioner/Accused filed this Petition to close the case as compromised with the Complainant and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following..

ORDER

A compromise petition has been filed by the defacto complainant under Section 320 Cr.P.C. stating that the dispute between the parties has been amicably settled and requesting this Court to record the compromise and close the case.

Heard the defacto complainant and the accused. Perused the compromise petition and case records.

The offences alleged against the accused are under Sections 294(b), 324, 326 IPC and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act.

As per Section 320 Cr.P.C., only offences specified therein are compoundable.

Among the alleged offences, Sections 294(b), 324, 326 IPC and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act are non-compoundable in nature, and this Court has no jurisdiction to permit compounding of such offences.

It is well settled that in respect of non-compoundable offences, the proper remedy of the parties is to approach the Hon'ble High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of proceedings on the basis of compromise.

In view of the above legal position, the compromise petition filed under Section 320 Cr.P.C. cannot be entertained.

The compromise petition is dismissed.

Directly Dictated to Steno-Typist, typed by her and pronounced by me in Open Court on this
11th day of March, 2026.

Judicial Magistrate,
Additional Mahila Court,
Perambalur.