

22 Cr. Case 3818/2026 STATE Vs. RINTU 17/2026 (Ashok Vihar)

Present: Sh. Arvind Dahiya, Id. APP for the State.
Accused in person.

Accused has submitted that he wants to plead guilty for the offence under section 223A BNS. He has moved an application under Section 290 BNSS for plea bargaining along with supporting affidavit.

After making the inquiries from the accused, I am satisfied that accused has moved this application voluntarily. The applicant fully understands the consequences of entering into plea bargaining in respect of the accusation/charges against him and intends to arrive at mutually satisfactory disposition with the prosecution. Thus, accused, investigation officer and Id. APP for the State have been asked to work out a mutually satisfactory disposition in this case.

IO has furnished previous conviction report of the accused. He has submitted that the accused has not suffered conviction for the similar offence.

At this stage, it has been reported by the accused, IO and Id. APP for the State that they have arrived at MSD. The MSD is drawn separately. Separate statements of all the parties recorded.

In view of the statement made by the accused, he is held guilty for the offence under Section 223A BNS and hereby convicted for the same.

Convict is heard on the point of sentence today itself.

Keeping in view the Mutually Satisfactory

Disposition arrived at between the parties, this Court is inclined to take a lenient view while awarding punishment to the convict.

Considering the submissions and the circumstances of the case, the convict is admonished. for the offence under Section 223A BNS.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(PRIYANSHI GARG)
ASSOCIATE MEMBER-DLSA

(UPASANA SATIJA)
JUDGE (LOK ADALAT)
09.05.2026