

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
PERAMBALUR, STATE OF TAMILNADU.

Present: Dr.L.S.Sathiyamurthy, M.A., M.L., Ph.D.,
Principal District & Sessions Judge, Perambalur.

Saturday, this the 6th day of June, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.508/2026
(CNR No.TNPB01-000864-2026)

1. Kasthuri, 58/2026, (A1),
W/o.Venkatachalam.

2. Chandrakumar, 40/2026, (A2),
S/o.Pachamuthu.

...Petitioners/Accused.

-VS-

State Rep by
the Sub Inspector of Police,
V.Kalathur P.S.,
Cr.No.82/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant.

This is a petition U/S.482 of BNSS filed through online/e-filing mode for pre-arrest bail.

This petition came up today before this Court in the presence of Thiru.Pera.Murugaiyan, Advocate for the petitioners and Thiru.P.Senthilnathan, the Public Prosecutor for the State and after careful consideration of the materials available on record and upon the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court has delivered the following...

ORDER

The petitioners/accused persons prayed for anticipatory bail as a case has been registered against them for the alleged offences punishable U/s.296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS.

2. Heard, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused submitted that the petitioners have not involved in this case as alleged by the

prosecution. The petitioners are innocent persons. The 2nd petitioner is an advocate. He is constructing an arch in front of the school where he studied. Harboring a grudge over the same, the defacto complainant has lodged a false complaint against the petitioners. Injured was discharged from the hospital and that if they are released on anticipatory bail they will abide by the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed stating that at the time of incident, the accused persons uttered filthy language towards the defacto complainant and attacked him with hammer and caused injuries on his head and also issued life threat. The investigation is pending and that if they are released on anticipatory bail, they will abscond, they will tamper the witnesses and that the petition may be dismissed.

5. This Court carefully considered the submissions made on by either side. petitioners are A1 and A2 and the case has been registered in Cr.No.82/2026 on the file of the respondent police. They have filed this petition for anticipatory bail apprehending arrest. The case was registered on 31.05.2026. The injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. There is no previous case registered against the petitioners. A2 is a practicing Advocate and contributed donation for the construction of an arch in the school in which he studied.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners herein subject to the following conditions:

(i) that the petitioners/accused in the event of their arrest or surrender before the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Veppanthattai** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond each for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five**

Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Veppanthattai ; if the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically;**

(ii) the sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

(iii) the petitioners/accused shall appear and sign before the **respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days.**


(iv) the petitioners/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioners/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/S.269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 6th day of June, 2026.


Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Perambalur.

6/6/26

...and only with the ...
...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...

...the ...
...the ...