

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MAHILA COURT, PERAMBALUR,
STATE OF TAMIL NADU.

Present: Tmt.M. S. Manimekalai,L.L.M.,
Additional District Judge,
Ariyalur,
(FAC) Sessions Judge,
Mahila Court, Perambalur.

Thursday, this the 04th day of June, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.480/2026

Magenthiran @ Mahendiran, 50/2026, (A2),
S/o.Narayanasami.

...Petitioner/Accused.

-vs-

State Rep by.its
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Perambalur Police Station,
in Cr.No.266/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant.

Petition filed and U/s.482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition coming on this day before me for order in the presence of Thiruvalargal.A.Sathiskumar, P.Manikandan and K.Regiskumar, Advocates for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides, this Court has delivered the following...

ORDER

The petitioner/accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/S.24(1) of Cigarette and other tobacco products r/w 123 of BNS and 77 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner alleged date of occurrence was on 08.05.2026; F.I.R. was registered on same day; that the petitioner did not involve in this case

incident as alleged by prosecution; he is not at the place of occurrence; he has no ownership, control, or conscious possession over the alleged seized tobacco products; that the earlier anticipatory bail petition was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No 469/2026 on 15.05.2026; that if he is released on anticipatory bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contented that the accused persons were in possession of 14 bags of Hans (210 kgms), 7 bags cool lip (22.230 kgms), 2 bags of Vimal Pan Masala (6.36 Kgms), 9 bags of V1 Pauck (5 kg), 6 box of RMD Hans (720Kgms) and totally of 244.310 kgms of tobacco produced; that case property was recovered from accused; that the petitioner already involved in several previous similar nature of offences; investigation is in preliminary stage and that if he is released on anticipatory bail, he will commit the similar offence again and he will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing both side arguments and as per FIR averments, it is seen that the petitioner stocked about 245 kgms of tobacco products near school and the same were recovered from the co-accused. The petitioner/accused is having several previous similar nature cases in his credit. In such circumstances the apprehension of prosecution that, if he is released on anticipatory bail he will involve in similar nature of offence cannot be discarded.

Considering the above circumstances of the case, the quantity of property, previous bad antecedents of the petitioner reported by the prosecution, preliminary stage of investigation and that there is no change of circumstances and serious objections of the prosecution and in the

interest of justice this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused at this stage.

5. In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 04th day of June, 2026.

Sessions Judge, Mahila Court (FAC),
Perambalur.