

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
PERAMBALUR, STATE OF TAMILNADU.

Present: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge.

Monday, this the 16th day of March, 2026

Crl.M.P.No.281/2026
(CNR No.TNPB01-000456-2026)

Vivek, 25/2026, (A4),
S/o.Sellasamy.

...Petitioner/Accused.

-vs-

State Rep. By,
the Sub Inspector of Police,
Perambalur Police Station,
in Cri. No.22/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated 09.03.2026 through e-filed and U/s.482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition coming on this day before me for order in the presence of Thiruvargal.C.Sathishkumar, P.Ananthajothi and S.Dhivakar, Advocates for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides, this Court has delivered the following...

ORDER

The petitioner/accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/S. 309(4) and 351(3) of BNS.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; the petitioner's name is not mentioned in the FIR; the petitioner was included only upon the alleged confession statement of co-accused; co-accused were already released on bail; it is a 2nd application; that if he is

released on anticipatory bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that accused persons demanded money from the defacto- complainant and snatched Rs.3,000/- by putting him under knife threat and also issued life threat; the petitioner already involved in 2 previous cases incidents; investigation is pending; there is no change of circumstances; if he is released on anticipatory bail, he will commit the similar nature offence again and he will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing the both side arguments, as per the FIR contents, it is seen that, at the time of alleged incident, the petitioner along with co-accused at the knife point snatched Rs.3,000/- from the defacto complainant and also criminally intimidated him. It is seriously contested on prosecution that, the petitioner is having 2 previous cases in his credits. Investigation is pending. After dismissal of the earlier bail application, there is no change of circumstances.

Considering the above circumstances, serious objections on the prosecution, previous bad antecedents of the petitioner, stage of the investigation and in the interest of justice, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner/accused on anticipatory bail at this stage.

5. In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 16th day of March, 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Perambalur.