

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
PERAMBALUR, STATE OF TAMILNADU.

Present: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge.

Wednesday, this the 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.266/2026  
(CNR No.TNPB01-000430-2026)

1. Ranjitha, 31/2026, (A2),  
D/o.Rajendran, W/o.Senthilkumar.

2. Mani @ Amaraavathi, 51/2026, (A3),  
W/o.Rajendran.

...Petitioners/Accused.

-vs-

State Rep by  
the Sub Inspector of Police,  
V.Kalathur P.S.,  
in Cr.No.39/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated 06.03.2026 through e-filed U/s.482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

This petition coming on this day before me for order in the presence of Thiruvargal.P.Panneerselvam, P.Naveenkumar and K.Regiskumar, Advocates for the petitioners and the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides, this Court has delivered the following...

**ORDER**

The petitioners/accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/s. 296(b), 115(2), 74 and 351(2) of BNS.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners/accused would submit that the petitioners did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; there was a family dispute between the parties and false complaint was preferred; injured was discharged from the hospital; section 74 of the BNS is not attracted against the petitioners; co-accused namely A1 and A4 were already released on bail and the same order copies were produced;

that if they are released on anticipatory bail they will abide the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that due to dispute over illegal relationship between the defacto complainant's son- in law and the accused, at the time of incident, the accused persons along with co-accused attacked the defacto complainant's daughter, when the defacto complainant interfered, the accused persons used obscene words towards her and pushed down on the ground by pulling her hair; A1 kicked her and insult modesty of her; A4 attacked her with sticks and they also issued life threat; that investigation is pending; that if they are released on anticipatory bail, they will commit the similar nature offence again and they will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing both sides arguments, as per the FIR contents, it is seen that due to dispute over illegal relationship, the petitioners along with co-accused allegedly assaulted the defacto complainant and her daughter. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that no any previous cases are reported against the petitioners. Admittedly injured was discharged from the hospital and co-accused namely A1 and A4 were also already released on bail. No serious overt act attributed against the petitioners.

Considering the above circumstances and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioners/accused on anticipatory bail on the following conditions:-

(i) that the petitioners/accused in the event of their arrest or surrender before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Perambalur** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his executing a bond each for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only)** with **two sureties each** for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Perambalur; if the**

**petitioners/accused are not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this Anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically;**

(ii) the sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

(iii) the petitioners/accused shall appear and sign before the **respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m. for 3 weeks.**

(iv) the petitioners/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioners/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/S.269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Perambalur.