

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
PERAMBALUR, STATE OF TAMILNADU.

Present: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge.

Wednesday, this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.262/2026  
(CNR No.TNPB01-000429-2026)

Manikandan, 38/2026,  
S/o.Krishnan.

...Petitioner/Accused.

-vs-

State rep. by  
the Sub-Inspector of Police,  
V.Kalathur P.S.,  
Crime No.35/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated 05.03.2026 through e-filed and /U/s.483 of BNSS for seeks bail to the petitioner.

This petition coming on this day before me for order in the presence of Thiru.M.Rajkumar, Advocate for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides, this Court has delivered the following...

**ORDER**

This petitioner/accused seeks bail for the alleged offences U/S.4(1)(C) and 4(1-A) (ii) of TNP (Amendment) Act 2024.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 18.02.2026 in the scene of occurrence, the accused was found in possession of 180ml of 32 brandy bottles with adulterated some poisons articles.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is undergoing incarceration from 18.02.2026; that the petitioner did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution;

that he is not possession of brandy bottles and false complaint was preferred; that case property was recovered; that nobody is hospitalized; the petitioner is a sick person; it is a 2<sup>nd</sup> application; that if he is released on bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner was in possession of 180ml of 32 Brandy bottles; that the petitioner already involved in 77 previous similar nature of cases incidents; that investigation is pending; the forensic lab report yet to be received; if he is released on bail, he will commit the similar offence again and he will abscond to other state and that the petition may be dismissed.

5. Upon hearing both side arguments and as per FIR averments, it is seen that the petitioner is allegedly found in possession of 180ml of 32 Brandy bottles and the same were recovered. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that among 77 previous cases, 74 previous cases have been disposed off and 3 cases are pending. The learned counsel for the petitioner specifically contended that the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 29 days and suffering from health issues.

Even though the earlier bail application was dismissed for the reasons prevailing at that circumstances now as a change of circumstances, considering the remand period, during the remand period, there is a possibility of completion of substantial portion of investigation and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on bail on the following conditions;

(i) the petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Veppanthattai** and on further condition that

(ii) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

(iii) the petitioner/accused shall appear and sign before the **respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. period for 3 weeks.**

(iv) the petitioner/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/S.269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal District & Sessions Judge  
Perambalur.