

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
PERAMBALUR, STATE OF TAMILNADU.

Present: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge.

Monday, this the 9th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.248/2026
(CNR No.TNPB01-000398-2026)

Ramesh, 48/2026,
S/o.Ponnusamy.

...Petitioner/Accused

-vs-

State Rep by
the Inspector of Police,
Padalur P.S.,
Cr.No.8/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated 02.03.2026 through e-filed U/s.483 of BNSS for seeks bail to the petitioner.

This petition coming on this day before me for order in the presence of Thiruvargal.Patanjali Vridhachalam, K.R.Venkatesan and M.Ajithkumar, Advocates for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides, this Court has delivered the following...

ORDER

This petitioner/accused seeks bail for the alleged offences U/s.105 of BNS @ 105 of BNS, 9(B) (1)(b) of Explosives Act and Sec.3(a) of Explosives Substance Act.

2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant's father was employed as a compressor vehicle drilling machine operator at ARN Crusher. On 10.01.2026, at about 5.00 p.m., a work-related explosion occurred at the said quarry when the defacto complainant's father went to inspect the occurrence place. At that time, a stone collapsed and trapping him underneath. As a result, he sustained fatal injuries and died at the scene of

occurrence. The accused were found in possession of explosives and detonators items stocked in the quarry and without safety equipment.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 23.02.2026; that the petitioner did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; the petitioner is no way connected with the case incident; the petitioner is only a supplier of explosives materials and he is a license holder, authorized to supply such items and also license copy of produced; A1 has given compensation to the victim's family; that co-accused namely A1 was already released on bail; that if he is released on bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contented that the petitioner is a supplier of the explosives items; at the time of incident, the accused persons were found in possession of explosive substances and detonators stocked in the quarry and without providing safety equipment; the workers were carrying out dig the quarry, at that time, which one person died; the petitioner already involved in one previous similar nature of case incident; if he is released on bail, he will commit the similar offence again and he will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

5. Upon hearing the both side arguments and as per the FIR contents, it is seen that, at the time of alleged incident, co-accused were found with the explosives items which were allegedly kept in the quarry and without taking any safety measures, the accused engaged the deceased and other workers to dig in the quarry. The learned counsel for the petitioner specifically contented that, the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 15 days. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that, the petitioner is a supplier of the

explosives items and the petitioner is a license holder. Copy of the license also produced and the same was perused. Admittedly, and co-accused was also already released on anticipatory bail and A1 has given compensation to the victim's family.

In the above circumstances, considering the remand period, co-accused (A1) was also already released on bail and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner on bail on the following conditions;

(i) the petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Perambalur;**

(ii) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(iii) the petitioner/accused shall appear and sign before the **respondent police, daily at 10.00 a.m for 3 weeks;**

(iv) the petitioner/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/S.269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 9th day of March, 2026.

Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Perambalur.