

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MAHILA COURT, PERAMBALUR.

**Present: Tmt.P.Indhirani, B.L.,
Sessions Judge, Mahila Court,
Perambalur.**

Monday, this the 09th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.238/2026.

Muthusamy, 39/2026,
S/o.Ramadoss.

...Petitioner/ Accused.

-vs-

The State Govt of Tamilnadu rep. by
Sub Inspector of Police,
Mangalamedu Police Station,
In Cr.No.106/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant.

Offence U/S.24(1) COTPA Act 2003, U/S. 77 of the Juvenile Justice Act 2015.

This petition coming on this day before me for order in the presence of Thiruvalargal. G. Kumaravelan, G. Ajith and K.Anand Raj Advocates for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides, this Court has delivered the following...

ORDER

This petition has been filed U/s.483 of BNSS for grant of bail to the petitioner for the offences U/S.24(1) COTPA Act 2003, U/S. 77 of the Juvenile Justice Act 2015.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner/accused stated that the alleged date of Occurrence was on 23.02.2026 and the petitioner remanded by the Hon'ble District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Kunnam, dated on 23.02.2026 and the FIR is registered at Police Station as Cr.No.106/2026 on 23.02.2026 and the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged and if he released on bail he will not evade trial and will not tamper any witness no similar bail application before the Hon'ble High Court at Chennai.

3. Reply received. The learned Public Prosecutor has strongly objected and stated that the petitioner is having hans 6 bundles 9 Pockets 90X20=1800LKgrm.,

Cool Lip 8 Pocket 28 Pockets 28.12.5=352.8grms, Vimal Supairi-8 Bundles 240 Pocket 2.5 Gr.=600gm, V1 Pan Masala 220 Pocket - 24Grm Total Weight 2.992 GrmsRs.6000/- and the petitioner selling Hans and gutka under 18 years old school students and the school students and children are affected and he will strongly objected to release the accused on bail.

4. Heard both sides. On perusal of records, though FIR was registered 23.02.2026 this petitioner/accused was remanded on 23.02.2026 and IO has failed to raise any objection with regard to the bail application and instead of that, IO strongly objected the anticipatory bail application as alleged to be filed by the petitioner which shows that IO has failed to object this bail application as this petitioner is in judicial custody for past 15 days. Considering the period of remand investigation might be completed. Considering the period of remand and under such facts and circumstances of the case, to secure the presence of the accused, with stringent conditions, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on the following conditions:

(a) that the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of a bond for Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousands only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Kunnam and one of the surety should be a blood related person or parents

(b) that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent Police Station, daily once at 10.00 a.m. for 30 days.

(c) the petitioner should produce proof for his permanent address.

(d) that the petitioner shall not hamper the investigation.

(e) that the petitioner should not leave India without intimation to this Court.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 09th day of March, 2026.

Sessions Judge,
Mahila Court, Perambalur.