

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
PERAMBALUR, STATE OF TAMILNADU.

Present: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge.

Monday, this the 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.147/2026  
(CNR No.TNPB01-000239-2026)

Periyasamy, 55/2026,  
S/o.Muthusamy.

...Petitioner/Accused.

-vs-

State Rep by  
the Sub Inspector of Police,  
Mangalamedu P.S.,  
in Cr.No.500/2025.

... Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated 06.02.2026 through e-filed U/s.482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition coming on this day before me for order in the presence of Thiruvargal.R.D.Rajavel and P.Pichaipillai, Advocates for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides, this Court has delivered the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner/accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence under Section 194 of BNS @ 105, 238(2) of BNS r/w 135(1)(b) and 135(1)(e) IE Act.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; the petitioner is no way connected with the case incident; the petitioner did not form electric fence; the deceased was mentally ill person; the deceased went to take a bath, slipped, fell down and died; it is a 3<sup>rd</sup> application; that if he is released on anticipatory bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner/accused illegally erected electric fence by committee electricity theft and as a result one person died; that investigation is pending; that there is no change of circumstances; if he is released on anticipatory bail, he will abscond, tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and that the petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing the both side arguments, as per the FIR contents, it is seen that at the time of alleged incident, the accused person allegedly involved in illegal electricity theft, which resulted in death of one person. Investigation is not completed. After dismissal of the earlier bail applications, there is no change of circumstances.

In the above circumstances, serious objections of the prosecution and in the interest of justice, this Court is not inclined to release the anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

5. In the result, this anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Perambalur.