

BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE MAGALIR
NEETHIMANDRAM OF THE NILGIRIS DISTRICT AT
UDHAGAMANDALAM.

**PRESENT: THIRU. M. SENTHIL KUMAR, B.A., B.L.,
SESSIONS JUDGE, UDHAGAMANDALAM**

Monday, the 01st day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P.Nos.943 & 947 of 2026 in SC.No.57 & 58 of 2025

Crl.M.P.No.943 of 2025 in SC.No.58/2026

Rishal, Son of Mohammed.

... Petitioner/Accused

Crl.M.P.No.947 of 2025 in SC.No.57/2026

Rishal, Son of Mohammed.

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State, the Inspector of Police,
Devala Police Station,
in Cr.Nos.99 and 101 of 2025

... Respondent/Complainant

These petitions are coming before me for hearing today in the presence of Mr.N.V.Gunasegaran, learned counsel for the Petitioner/Accused and of Mr.P.Senthil Kumar, learned Special Public Prosecutor of this court, after perusing the petition and connected records and after hearing both sides, this court passes the following:

COMMON ORDER

CMP.No.943 and 947 of 2026 these both applications has been filed by the Accused by name Rishal, Son of Mohammed, he has been charged under sections 332, 75, 351(2) of BNS Act an identical section in both cases registered by Devala Police Station. The counsel for the petitioner/accused would content before this Court that case foisted by the State for the reasons that this accused while cross examining the defacto complainant's house had some wordly cruel and that return into a case

under sections 75 of BNS Act corresponding section 376 of IPC. Now, the case was taken up by this Court as Sessions Case Nos.57 and 58 of 2025, summons was served upon the accused for appearance and despite the causing of service of summons to the accused, the accused had not appeared before this Court. Hence, this Court had issued a Non Bailable Warrant in both cases and he has been remanded to judicial custody on 07.05.2026. Now the learned counsel plea that bail may be granted with any stringent condition as court directs.

The State on the other hand filed their objections stating that the accused being released on bail may get himself absconded and since two cases has been registered and case is on right for trial and State had informed that trial may be expedited. Taking into consideration of the serious objections made by the State and the learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would informs this Court that date was not informed to the accused on the date of first hearing. However, that is not the case shown and the Court registry makes a due endeavor to informs the accused while he appear before this Court regarding the next hearing since the reasons pleaded by the petitioner is not sufficed to grant bail and there is no change in circumstances. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

Accordingly, this Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this 01st day of June, 2026.

Sd/-M.Senthil Kumar.,

**Sessions Judge,
Magalir Neethimandram (FTMC)
Udhagamandalam.**