

5

CR. CASE 13474/2022

STATE VS. MUKESH KUMAR

FIR NO.145 /2022

PS TIMAR PUR

23.12.2023

Present : Sh. Deepak, Ld. APP for the State.
Accused Parshu Ram and Som Shekhar appeared through VC.
Accused Mukesh not produced from JC.
Accused Santosh Shankar Rao Patil produced from JC.
Accused Sujit Kumar Gautam not produced from JC.
Sh. Mahesh Kumar Patel, Ld. Counsel for accused Mukesh.
Sh. Vishal Choudhary, Ld. Counsel for accused Parshu Ram and Som Shekhar.
Sh. Vineet Jain, Ld. Counsel for complainant.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of accused Parshu Ram and Som Shekhar that the order passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi quashing the FIR qua accused persons has not been uploaded yet and he has applied for certified copy of the order. Same be placed on or before NDOH.

Be awaited for accused Mukesh and Sujit Kumar Gautam for 11:30 am.

(Apoorv Gupta)
MM-02, Central District
Tis Hazari Courts/23.12.2023

5

CR. CASE 13474/2022

STATE VS. MUKESH KUMAR

FIR NO.145 /2022

PS TIMAR PUR

23.12.2023 at 11:30 am

Present : Sh. Deepak, Ld. APP for the State.
Accused Parshu Ram and Som Shekhar appeared through VC.
Accused Mukesh and Sujit Kumar Gautam produced from JC.
Accused Santosh Shankar Rao Patil produced from JC.
Sh. Manish Malik, Ld. Counsel for accused Mukesh (fresh VK filed).
Sh. Vishal Choudhary, Ld. Counsel for accused Parshu Ram and Som Shekhar.
Sh. Anil Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused Sujit Kumar Gautam.
Sh. Mohit Gautam, Ld. Counsel for accused Santosh Shankar Rao Patil (through VC).
Sh. Mayank Jain, Ld. Counsel for complainant.

Copy of supplementary charge-sheet alongwith documents annexed therewith supplied to accused Sujit Kumar Gautam in terms of Section 207 Cr.P.C against acknowledgment.

Issue court notice to all IOs to supply one copy of charge-sheet and two copies of supplementary charge-sheet, for being supplied to accused persons, for NDOH.

List for SD / arguments on charge / FP on 03.02.2024.

Rehnumai on 06.01.2024 and 20.01.2024.

(Apoorv Gupta)
MM-02, Central District
Tis Hazari Courts/23.12.2023

CR. CASE 13474/2022
STATE VS. MUKESH KUMAR
FIR NO.145 /2022
PS TIMAR PUR

23.12.2023 at 12:30 pm

File again taken up today on an application u/s 437 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of applicant/accused Sujit Kumar Gautam for grant of regular bail.

Present : Sh. Deepak, Ld. APP for the State.
Sh. Anil Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused Sujit Kumar Gautam.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused Sujit Kumar Gautam that the accused is in JC since 04.11.2023 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet in the present case has already been filed and investigation qua him has already been complete. It is further submitted that the accused has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is sole bread earner of his family. It is prayed that accused Sujit Kumar Gautam be released on bail.

Ld. APP for the State has opposed the instant application.

Rival submissions heard. Record perused. Considered.

The allegations against accused Sujit Kumar Gautam are that he was instrumental in helping other accused persons namely Mukesh and Santosh Shankar Rao Patil in cheating people by fabricating documents i.e. Joining Letters etc. with the help of one Ajit (who has not yet been arrested). The bank statement of accused Sujit Kumar Gautam shows transactions of more than Rs.15 lacs which he received from co-accused Mukesh for his service.

Considering the totality of circumstances and serial allegations against accused Sujit Kumar Gautam, this Court does not deem it appropriate at this stage to grant discretionary relief of regular bail to the applicant / accused Sujit Kumar Gautam and consequently, the instant bail application is dismissed.

Order dasti, as prayed for.

(Apoorv Gupta)
MM-02, Central District
Tis Hazari Courts/23.12.2023