

Dhr. No.1, 4 and 5 are present. Petitioner No.2 and 3 are minor, they were represented by their father i.e., Dhr. No.1. The advocate for Dhr. filed application u/o 32 rule 7 CPC praying for permitting Dhr. No.1 to enter into compromise on behalf of her minor children i.e., Dhr. No.2 and 3.

Application is supported by the affidavit, wherein the Dhr. No.1 i.e., father of the minor Dhr. No.2 and 3 contended that he is the father of the Dhr. No.2 and 3, there is no any conflicting interest between himself and minor Dhr. No.2 and 3.

Advocate for Dhr. No.2 and 3 has filed pleader certificate stating that proposed compromise is for the benefit and in the better interest of the minor Dhr. No.2 and 3.

Heard,

Perused,

After going through the application, affidavit of the guardian and certificate issued by the learned counsel, I am of the opinion that there is no any conflicting interest between the minor Dhr. No.2 and 3 and guardian. Hence application u/o 32 rule 7 of CPC is hereby allowed.

Guardian of the Dhr. No.2 and 3 is hereby permitted to enter into compromise on behalf of minor Dhr. No.2 and 3.

Contents of the joint memo are read over to the parties. Both the parties have admitted that they have voluntarily entered into compromise without any coercion or influence.

I am satisfied and proceed to pass the following

ORDER

Joint memo filed by the Dhr. No.1 to 5 and Jdr. is accepted / Allowed.

Jdr. has agreed to pay Rs.36,46,000/- as full and final settlement of the claim.

Hence Jdr. is directed to deposit the agreed amount within 30 from the date of award, if Jdr. failed to deposit the amount, the Dhr. is entitled for interest @6% p.a. from the date of award.

After deposit of the compensation amount Share of the compensation is apportioned and disbursed as per the terms of award.

In view of above joint memo E.P. is closed as fully satisfied.

XVII ASCJ & ACJM, Bengaluru.