

**I.A.NO:05/2026 IN I.A.NO:03/2023**

**IN I.A.NO:02/2022 IN**

**O.S.NO:54/2022, Dated: 07.07.2026**

Heard. Records perused. The above suit has been filed by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent for the relief of Permanent Injunction to restrain the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent from interfering or disturbing the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the suit property by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent. The 1<sup>st</sup> respondent had filed a petition in I.A.No:2/2022 for the relief of ad interim injunction to restrain the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent from interfering or disturbing the peaceful possession, quiet and full enjoyment of the suit property till the disposal of suit. The petitioners have filed the petition in I.A.No:3/2023 to implead themselves as respondents to I.A.No:2/2022. The above petition in I.A.No:5/2026 has been filed by the petitioners to permit the petitioners to produce the proposed

additional documents to the petition in I.A.No:3/2023.

The contention of the petitioners is that the petitioners had obtained the certified copies of the A-Register before the UDR Scheme as per the application made on 05.02.2026 as per the Right to Information Act only now and that the said document is necessary to decide the prayer sought by the petitioners and that the petitioners wish to mark the Genealogical tree as a document and have sought to produce the same before this court. The contention of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent is that the petitioners have not stated sufficient reasons to allow this petition. The 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent has stated to have no counter statement.

From the perusal of records, this court observes that the petitioners have sought to produce the Sale deed dated 20.01.1936, the Sale deed dated

12.02.1957, the Certificate issued by the VAO dated 18.04.1972, the Genealogical Tree and the A-Register obtained through the Right to Information Act but have stated reasons for the delayed production of document Nos:4 and 5 only. It is also observed that the petitioners have annexed two separate affidavits to the present petition, one stating reasons to produce Doc.No:4 and 5 alone and another affidavit stating the facts alleging that the petitioners are necessary parties to the I.A.No:2/2022 and listing Doc.Nos:1 to 5. The petitioners have not stated any specific reasons for filing of 2 separate affidavits with different averments. However, in the interest of justice, this court considers that the said irregularity shall be deprive the petitioners of their lawful right and thus, considers that though the petitioners have not specified

sufficient reasons for the production of the proposed documents in delay, in order to provide fair opportunity to both the parties to let in their case and to avoid multiplicity of proceedings, this court is of the opinion that the said delay shall be excused and the proposed documents shall be received on record, subject to the relevancy and admissibility of the proposed documents to the facts and circumstance of the case. Considering the delay caused, costs is imposed.

**In the result, this petition is ALLOWED. A Cost of Rs.500/- shall be paid to the respondents on or before 13.07.2026, failing which this petition shall stand dismissed automatically. Call on 14.07.2026.**

District Munsif,  
Kumarapalayam.