

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE- II, NAGAPATTINAM
PRESENT: C.SARALADEVI, B.A., L.L.B.,L.L.M.,
Fastrack (Judicial) Magistrate,Nagapattinam
In full additional charge of Judicial Magistrate No.2, Nagapattinam
This Tuesday 16th day of June 2026

Crl. M.P. No. 388/2026 In Cr. No. 211/2025

Siva, Age 31/2026,
S/o. Ramesh,
52. Samathuvapuram Pappa Kovil,
Pappakovil,
Nagapattinam.

..... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by
Inspector of Police
Thirukkannapuram Police Station
Cr. No. 211/2025

.....Respondent

Under Section 4(1)(A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act

The bail application filed under section 480 BNSS coming before me for final hearing today in the presence of Petitioners Mr. P.R.Natesa Jayaraman and Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade II for the Respondent and upon hearing both the parties and perusing records, this court delivered the following...

ORDER

1.The Petitioner / Accused filed this bail application U/s. 480 of BNSS. The Petitioner submitted that the accused is charged Under *Section 4(1)(A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act* and remanded to judicial custody on 05.05.2026. The accused is in judicial custody for the past 42 days. The Petitioner Counsel submitted that Petitioner is innocent and the charges under section 4(1)(A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act is falsely foisted against the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by the conditions for his release on bail and is ready to cooperate with the investigation.

2. Notice issued to Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor and Investigating Officer. The reply of Investigation Officer stated that if the petitioners is let out on bail he is likely to commit similar offence again. Hence, the prosecution strongly objected to allow the bail petition..

3. Considering the rival submissions put forth by the parties and on perusal of entire materials on record, this court finds that the case has been registered under section 4(1)(A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act for possessing Pondy liquor of 120 liters without holding any license or permit from government. As the properties were recovered, this court is of the opinion that the investigation would have reached a considerable stage.

4. After considering all the above said aspects, considering the nature of offence, the stage of investigation, period of custody, health condition of petitioners, the previous antecedents of the petitioner and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

i) The petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum.

ii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the Investigating Officer daily at 10.30AM in the morning for 15 days from the date of release on bail.

iii) The petitioner shall not abscond and shall not tamper with evidence shall not make any inducement, threat or promise to any person so as to dissuade them from disclosing facts to the court or police officer.

iv) The petitioner shall attend in accordance with conditions of bond.

v) The petitioner shall not commit the offences similar to the alleged offences in the case.

In the result, this petition is **allowed** in the interests of justice.

Order typed by Steno typist, corrected by me and pronounced by me in open court on this 16th day of June 2026.

Sd/-C.Saraladevi)
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-II(F.A.C)
NAGAPATTINAM.