



In the Court of the District and Sessions Judge, Nagapattinam.
Present: Thiru. T.H. Mohammed Farooq, M.A., M.L.,
District and Sessions Judge, Nagapattinam.
Tuesday, the 11th day of August 2026
Cr.M.P.No.550/2026

The Inspector of Police, Velankanni P.S.
Cr.No.104/2026

.... Petitioner/Complainant

Vs.

Manojprabhakar, S/o Pandian

.... Respondent /Accused

This petition came up today (11.08.2026) before me in the presence of Thiru. V. Elamaran, Public Prosecutor for the State/Petitioner and Thiru. K. Thangaraj, Advocate for the Respondent/Accused, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this court delivers the following . . .

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the Prosecution under Section 483(3) of the Cr.P.C, seeking cancellation of the bail granted to the respondent/accused by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in CrI.O.P. No.12086 of 2026, dated 13.05.2026, in Crime No.104 of 2026 for the offences under Section 103 of the BNS.

2) Notice was served on the respondent. The respondent entered appearance and filed a counter.

3) Heard both sides. Perused the materials available on record. The respondent/accused was granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in CrI.O.P. No.12086 of 2026, dated 13.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Section 103 of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 104 of 2026, registered on the file of the petitioner police, subject to the condition that he shall report before the concerned Jurisdictional Magistrate daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders. The present petition has been filed on the ground that, subsequent to the grant of bail, the respondent/accused has not complied with the said condition and, therefore, the bail granted to him is liable to be cancelled.

4) The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and submitted that the respondent/accused has failed to comply with the condition imposed by the Hon'ble High Court and that he had not appeared before the concerned Jurisdictional Magistrate as directed. It was further submitted that, if the bail is not cancelled, there is a possibility of the respondent/accused absconding from the process of law. Hence, the learned Public Prosecutor prayed for cancellation of the bail.

5) The learned Counsel for the respondent/accused submitted that, due to ill-health, the respondent/accused was unable to comply with the condition imposed by the

Hon'ble High Court. It was further submitted that, subsequently, he was taken into custody in connection with another case, due to which he could not appear before the District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kilvelur. The learned Counsel for respondent/accused further submitted that the investigation in S.C. No.40 of 2026 is completed and pending for trial before this Court, that he is regularly appear for trial, and that for the reasons stated the respondent/accused was unable to comply with the condition, and submit that hereinafter the the respondent/accused is ready to abide by any conditions and prayed that one more opportunity may be given to the respondent/accused to comply with the condition imposed by the Hon'ble High Court and undertake to appear before this Court regularly.

6) On considering the rival contentions and examining the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds that the respondent/accused was already granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in CrI.O.P. No.12086 of 2026, dated 13.05.2026, and has been at liberty for the past three months. It is also seen that the investigation has been completed and the case is now pending for trial. The learned Counsel for the respondent/accused has submitted that the respondent is ready and willing to comply with the conditions imposed by the Hon'ble High Court and to appear before the concerned Court regularly. Considering the period of liberty already enjoyed by the respondent/accused, the completion of investigation and the present stage of the case, this Court is inclined to grant one more opportunity to the respondent/accused to comply with the conditions imposed by the Hon'ble High Court. Hence, this Court is not inclined to cancel the bail granted to the respondent/accused.

In the result, **the petition is dismissed.** The Respondent/accused is directed to comply regularly with the condition imposed by the Hon'ble High Court to appear and sign before the learned District Munsif-Cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kilvelur on daily at 10.00 a.m.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 11th day of August 2026.

Sd./- T.H. Mohammed Farooq,
DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
NAGAPATTINAM.

To

1. The Inspector of Police, Velankanni P.S.
 2. Thiru. K. Thangaraj, Advocate, Nagapattinam. (On payment of costs)
- //E-Court Web Copy/