

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE – I,  
N. PARAVUR**

Present:- Sri. Jayaraj M.P., Additional District & Sessions Judge – I

Friday, the 27<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025/ 6<sup>th</sup> Ashada 1947.

**M.C.No.56/2025 in S.C. No.536/2024**

**Complainant:-**

Additional Sessions Judge - I, North Paravur.

**Counter Petitioners:-**

CP1. Martin V.R., S/o.Rappai V.A., Valappila (H),  
Kollakkode, Ayyampuzha, Manjapra, Ernakulam.

CP2. Haroon K.K., S/o.Kottayil Musthafa Kochunni,  
Kottayil House, Thandekkad, Ponjassery, Vengola,  
Ernakulam.

No Vakkalath

This petition filed under Section 446 of Cr.PC.

This petition is coming on for hearing on 27.06.2025 and the  
court on the same day passed the following:-

**ORDER**

Case registered under Section 446 of the Code of Criminal  
Procedure.

2. Respondents are the sureties of accused in S.C. No.536/2024 on the file of this court. Accused was released on bail during the stage of investigation. He did not appear on summons after final report was filed and absconded. Notice was ordered to the respondents. Respondent No.2 appeared and respondent No.1 was absent. Respondent No.2 requested for time, which was allowed by this court. Subsequently, respondents did not appear and therefore, this case was registered against them. Notice was again issued to the respondents. Both the respondents appeared on notice. They submitted that accused left to his native place as father of the accused was ill, before a period of one year. They have not offered any further explanation for the violation of the bail condition. The explanation that accused is not present before the court as he left to the native place before one year in connection with alleged illness of father of the accused is not acceptable in the absence of any material to show that illness of father of the accused is the reason for the present non-appearance of accused before this court. Respondents have no case that they contacted accused over phone at any time. They have also not submitted that accused will appear before this

court within a reasonable time. In the circumstances, it is concluded that the respondents willfully violated the bail condition and they have no acceptable explanation to offer for the violation of the bail condition. It is the experience that accused in many cases who released on bail subsequently abscond prolonging trial indefinitely. The bail bond executed by respondents stands forfeited. Respondents are ordered to pay penalty of ₹50,000/- each. If penalty is not realised by distress warrant, respondents shall undergo imprisonment in civil prison for a period of three months each.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected by me and pronounced in open Court, on this the 27<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025.

Jayaraj M.P.  
Additional Sessions Judge – I

Appendix:- Nil.

Additional Sessions Judge – I

Typed by : Siji  
Comp. By : Vijitha

**M.C. No. 56/2025 in**  
**S.C. No.536/2024**

**Order**

**Dated: 27.06.2025**