

CNR No: HRHS010083232026

CIS No: BA/2042/2026

Rohit and Ankit VS State of Haryana

1

In the Court of Khatri Saurabh, Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar UID No.HR0253

CNR No. HRHS010083232026
Bail Application No. 224- BA of 2026
Date of Institution 08.07.2026
Date of Decision: **10.07.2026**

1. Ankit, aged about 20 years, son of Sh. Jai Bhagwan, r/o VPO, Masudpur, District Hansi.

2.Rohit, aged about 21 years, son of Vikram Singh r/o house no.27, Ward No.6, Bawani Khera, District Bhiwani.

....Petitioner-accused

Versus

State of Haryana.

....Respondent.

FIR No. 83 dated 16.02.2026
U/Ss: 309(4),3(5),61 BNS 2023
& 25 of Arms Act
Police Station: Barwala.

Ist Bail application under Section 483 BNSS

Present: Sh. Sandeep K.Dahiya, counsel for petitioners-accused
Sh. Parveen Kumar, Public Prosecutor for State.

ORDER:-

This order of mine shall dispose of second regular bail application filed on behalf of applicants-accused Ankit and Rohit under Section 483 BNSS.

2. The case of prosecution, in brief, is that instant FIR was registered on the complaint moved by Kala son of Dharambir wherein he alleged that on 16.02.2026, at around 3.00 p.m, he had withdrawn Rs.1

Khatri Saurabh
ASJ, Hisar.
UID No. HR0253

lakh from SBI Barwala branch and was going on his motorcycle no.HR-80F-7241 towards his village Khedar. When he reached near Thermal power plant, a Scorpio car with 3-4 persons came and its occupants brandished a pistol at him and signalled him to stop. One person alighted from the car and snatched Rs.1 lakh from him and also took away his motorcycle keys and thereafter, the occupants of the car fled away from the spot in their Scorpio car. He prayed to police to initiate legal action in the matter.

During investigation, accused Ashwani, Rahul, Rohit and Ankit were found to be involved and they were arrested. Scorpio car used in the occurrence was also taken into police custody. All the accused were identified by the complainant in test identification parade. CCTV footage of the occurrence was also collected by the police. Disclosure statement of the accused were also recorded. Air gun used in the occurrence was got recovered by accused Ashwani. Rs.25,000/- were got recovered from each of the four accused. CCTV footage from the bank where complainant had withdrawn money was also procured. After completion of entire investigation, challan was filed against accused persons before the concerned Court.

3. Upon notice, detailed reply was filed on behalf of the State wherein contents of FIR and the steps of investigation were set out in detail. Dismissal of the application was prayed for.

4. Learned counsel for applicants-accused has contended that the first bail application filed on behalf of the applicants-accused was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 05.05.2026. It has been contended that co-accused Rahul has been granted regular bail by the Court of Sh.Manglesh Kumar Choubey, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar, vide order dated 12.06.2026 during summer vacation, whereas, co-accused Ashwani has also been granted regular bail by Ms.Anudeep Kaur Bhatti, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar, vide order dated 19.06.2026 during summer vacation. It has been prayed that the case of present accused stands on similar footing and hence, they are also entitled to bail on the principle of parity. He further submitted that both the accused are languishing in custody since 17.02.2026, hence, it was prayed that accused be released on regular bail.

5. On the other hand, Ld. PP for the State submitted that both the accused in question had applied for regular bail before Hon'ble High Court and same was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 08.07.2026. He submitted that parity cannot be the sole ground to grant bail to the accused in question as the other two accused were granted regular bail by the Vacation Benches before whom, it appears that the entire facts and circumstances of the case were not properly brought out as in the bail orders, none of the Vacation Bench had considered the fact that the entire occurrence had been captured in CCTV footage wherein the accused in

question could be clearly seen committing the occurrence. On the basis of said submissions, he prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

6. I have heard learned counsel for applicant–accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor and carefully perused the material available on the record.

7. The last bail application filed on behalf of accused was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 05.05.2026 while observing as under:-

“In the present case, complainant had alleged that four persons came in scorpio car. One of the occupants of the car pointed a pistol at him while he was driving his motorcycle on the road near Thermal Power Plant and made him to stop. Thereafter, one person alighted from the car and snatched away Rs.1 lakh from him along with his motorcycle key and thereafter, all the occupants fled from the spot in the car. The entire said occurrence got captured in CCTV footage which prima facie substantiates the version of complainant. Accused in question were also found to have followed the complainant right from the time when he withdrew his money from the bank. The allegations levelled against the accused and the offences involved, are of very serious and grave nature. If the accused shall be released on bail, it is most likely that they will try to influence and threaten the complainant from not deposing against them.

8.Hence, in such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to extend the concession of regular bail to the present accused in the present case and their bail application is hereby dismissed.”

Both the accused have now sought to be released on regular bail on the ground that co-accused Rahul and Ashwani were granted regular bail by Vacation Benches of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar, vide orders dated 12.06.2026 and 19.06.2026. Perusal of the orders

passed by both the Vacation Courts reveal that the fact that the occurrence in question had been entirely got captured in CCTV footage, had not been brought to the knowledge of the said Courts which clearly established reasonable grounds for believing that the accused in question had committed offences in question. Furthermore, the apprehension expressed by the prosecution that if the accused would be released on bail, it is most likely that they will contact the complainant in some way or the other and would try to exert pressure upon him not to depose against them, cannot be brushed aside lightly. The complainant is yet to be examined during the main trial and hence, this Court does not find any substantial reason for releasing the accused in question on regular bail.

Hence, present bail application filed on behalf of applicants-accused is hereby dismissed. File be consigned to record room.

Date of Order:10.07.2026

Gurdeep SG-1

(Khatri Saurabh)

Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar

UID No.HR0253