

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge XXXI, Patna

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 3434/2026

**Mantu Kumar @
Shashank Kumar and others**

Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Bihar

Opposite party

Ld. APP on behalf of State

- Saroj Kumari

Ld. Counsel for Petitioner

- Rajeev Ranjan Sinha

Sr. No.	Date	Order with signature of the Court	Office taken Date	Action/s with
	24.08.2026	Record placed for order. This anticipatory bail application is preferred by 1. Mantu Kumar @ Shashank Kumar aged about 24 years, 2. Chabila Kumar @ Bunt Kumar aged about 26 years, 3. Pintu Kumar @ Pinku Kumar aged about 22 years all S/o- Bhutali Rai @ Jagarnath Rai 4. Bhutali Rai @ Jagarnath Rai S/o- Late Ramprasad Rai, aged about 56 years in connection with Beur P.S. Case No. 320/2026, U/s- 103(1), 3(5) of BNS & 27 of Arms Act pending in the Court of ACJM-I, Patna. The record received by this Court of Ld. District and Sessions Judge, Patna for hearing and disposal. That the prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant, Arvind Kumar, aged about 28 years, alleged that his brother Anand Kumar was killed on 30.05.2026 and his dead body was found near Nidan Hospital, Harnichak. It is alleged that the deceased was murdered on account of a land dispute concerning Khata No. 111, Khasra No. (not clearly mentioned), situated at Mouza Vishunpura Pakadi, regarding which a title suit is pending before the court. The informant suspected the involvement of Bhutali Rai @ Jagarnath Rai and other named accused persons, alleging that they had earlier forcibly fenced the disputed land, abused and threatened the informant and his family, and even opened fire in the air when they protested. The informant expressed his belief that all the accused persons, acting together, assaulted and killed his brother due to the said land dispute. Ld. Advocate for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners had not moved any anticipatory or regular bail either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court earlier in this case. There is one criminal antecedent against the petitioner no. 1 and petitioner no. 4 has got three criminal antecedents. It had been submitted that petitioner is innocent and falsely been implicated in this case. It had been further submitted that informant is not the eye witness in this case and informant has filed a false case against the petitioners due to land dispute and old enmity There is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence and ready to abide by the terms and conditions of bail. Hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioners		

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge XXXI, Patna

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 3434/2026

**Mantu Kumar @
Shashank Kumar and others**

Vs.

Petitioner

The State of Bihar
on bail.

Opposite party

Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of the state and submitted that the petitioners had committed serious offence. Hence, prayed to reject the bail petition.

Heard the Ld. Advocates of both the parties and perused the FIR and case diary of Beur P.S. Case No. 320/2026, U/s- 103(1), 3(5) of BNS & 27 of Arms Act against the petitioners. This is the case of very serious in nature committed after making pre-planned, petitioners are involved in killing of informant's brother and the allegation leveled against the petitioners are grievous in nature. Investigation is still going on. There is ample material available in the case diary against the petitioners. So, at this stage, the prayer of petitioner for anticipatory bail is not considerable.

Considering the nature of allegations made in this case and the stage of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Therefore, the anticipatory bail petition stands **rejected**.

The order is dictated, and corrected by me.

**Sd/-
(Amit Anand)
D.A.S.J. XXXI Patna
Dated:- 24-08-2026**

Date of Judgment/ order	24.08.2026
Date of reserving Judgment / order	NA
Uploading date	24.08.2026
Uploaded by	Nitin Kumar