

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,  
MAYILADUTHURAI.**

Present: Dr. L.S. Sathiyamurthy, M.A., M.L., Ph.D.,  
District and Sessions Judge, Mayiladuthurai.  
State of Tamil Nadu.

Tuesday, this the 24<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No. 364/2026**

A2. Vijay, S/o. Selvaraj

...Petitioner / Accused.

**Vs**

State through the Inspector of Police,

Kuthalam P.S in Cr. No.123/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS Act.

This petition came up today for the hearing before me in the presence of Thiru. V. Muthuraman, Advocate for the Petitioner and Tmt. A. Aruna, on behalf of Public Prosecutor for the State and after careful consideration of the materials available on record and the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this court passed the following:

**ORDER**

1. This is a petition filed U/s. 482 of BNSS, 2023.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/Accused submitted that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner for the offence U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS Act. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place from 03.03.2026. The FIR registered on 04.03.2026. The co-accused/A1 was already released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate No.1. The petitioner is innocent and he did not commit any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The *defacto complainant* has given false complaint against the petitioner. The petitioner apprehend arrest at the hands of police and he is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The Learned Public Prosecutor argued that there was a dispute existed between A1 and defacto complainant's brother-in-law, in which on 03.03.2026 at about 09.00 PM the defacto complainant and his brother in law was gone to purchase a medicine at that time the A1 was made unnecessary quarrel with the defacto complainant's brother-in-law and scolded him in filthy language and it was compromised. On the same day at about 10.00 PM the petitioner and the co-accused were came to defaco complainant's house, scolded them in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant and his brother-in-law using Aruval and spade over their head and over various places of the body and criminally intimidated them before leaving the place. Hence the case. Investigation is pending. He made objections to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. This court carefully considered the submission made by either side. The petitioner in this case is A2 and he has filed this petition for anticipatory bail. The case was registered on 04.03.2026 and A1 was arrested and enlarged on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Mayiladuthurai. There is no previous case against A2 and the major portion of investigation also completed. Considering all these facts, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on following conditions,

In the result, this petition is allowed. Consequently, in the event of arrest of the petitioner or on his/her surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his/her execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Mayiladuthurai and on further conditions that:

(i) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Passbook to ensure the identity.

(ii) The petitioner should surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

**(iii) the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Respondent P.S daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 30 days.**

(iv) The petitioner shall co-operate for the investigation and should not threaten the witnesses.

(v) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(vi) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(vii) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, [(2005) AIR S.C.W.5560]*.

(viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

District and Sessions Judge,  
Mayiladuthurai.

**Copy to**

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.1, Mayiladuthurai.
2. The Inspector of Police, Kuthalam P.S
3. The Petitioner through his counsel.