

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
MAYILADUTHURAI.**

Present: Dr. L.S. Sathiyamurthy, M.A., M.L., Ph.D.,
District and Sessions Judge, Mayiladuthurai.
State of Tamil Nadu.

Wednesday, this the 18th day of March 2026.

CrI.M.P. No. 363/2026

A3. Mukilan, S/o. Nagarajan.

A4. Jagadeesh, S/o. Ambethkar.

... Petitioners/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Anaikaranchathiram P.S., in Cr. No. 96/2026

... Respondent/Complainant

U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.

This petition came up today for the hearing in the presence of Thiru. R. Radhakrishnan, Advocate for the Petitioner and Tmt. A. Aruna on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and after careful consideration of the materials available on record and the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this court passed the following:

ORDER

1. This is a petition filed U/s. 482 of BNSS, 2023.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/Accused submitted that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioners for the offences U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 06.03.2026. The co-accused/A1 already enlarged on bail. The petitioners are innocent and they did not commit any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The defacto complainant is the brother in law of the A1. Due to family dispute, the *defacto complainant* has given false complaint against the petitioners. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police and they are ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The Learned Public Prosecutor argued that the co-accused/A1 is the husband of the defacto complainant's elder sister. There was a misunderstanding between the A1 and his wife, so they live separately. On 06.03.2026 at 9.30 PM quarrel arose between both parties, with regard to questioning about the matrimonial dispute. So the petitioners and the co-accused persons scolded the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him using knife and criminally intimidated him before leaving the place. Hence, the case. Investigation is pending. He made objections to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. This court carefully considered the submission made by either side. The petitioners are A3 and A4 in a case registered in crime no.96/2026 on the file of the respondent police. Therefore, they filed this petition for pre arrest bail apprehending arrest. The case was registered on 06.03.2026 and A1 was already remanded and enlarged on bail. There is no person injured or hospitalized in this case and there is no previous case pending against this petitioner. Therefore, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with the following conditions,

In the result, this petition is allowed. Consequently, in the event of arrest or on his/their surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioners ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his/their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sirkali and on further conditions that:

(i) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Passbook to ensure the identity.

(ii) The petitioners should surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(iii) the petitioners shall report before the Inspector of Police, Respondent P.S daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days.

(iv) The petitioners should co-operate for the investigation and should not threaten the witnesses.

(v) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(vi) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(vii) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, [(2005) AIR S.C.W.5560]*.

(viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 18th day of March 2026.

District and Sessions Judge,
Mayiladuthurai.

Copy to

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sirkali.
2. The Inspector of Police, Anaikaranchathiram P.S.
3. The Petitioner through his counsel.