

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,  
MAYILADUTHURAI.**

Present: Dr. L.S. Sathiyamurthy, M.A., M.L., Ph.D.,

District and Sessions Judge, Mayiladuthurai.

State of Tamil Nadu.

Wednesday, this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026

**CrI.M.P. No. 361/2026**

Vignesh, S/o. Jothi.

... Petitioner/Accused.

**Vs**

State through the Inspector of Police,

Kuthalam P.S., in Cr. No. 75/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

U/s. 194(3)(i) @ 85, 108 of BNS.

This petition came up today for the hearing before me in the presence of Thiru. R. Suresh, Advocate for the Petitioner and Tmt. A. Aruna, on behalf of Public Prosecutor for the State and after careful consideration of the materials available on record and the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this court passed the following:

**ORDER**

1. This petition is filed U/s. 483 of BNSS, 2023.

2. Heard, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused person would submit that the respondent police has originally registered a case against the petitioner for the offences U/s. 194(3)(i) @ 85, 108 of BNS. The petitioner was subsequently arrested on suspicion and remanded to judicial custody on 11.02.2026 and is in judicial custody for the past 35 days. More over this false case has been registered against the petitioner and he has no connection with this case. The petitioner is innocent and he did not commit any offences as alleged by the prosecution. Investigation is almost over. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to grant bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that petitioner is the son in law of the defacto complainant. As per the case of the prosecution, the defacto complainant's daughter and the petitioner got married 4 years ago. On 10.02.2026 at 10.00 PM the

petitioner's family members demanded money and jewelry for one Ishwariya, who is the petitioner's sister for her marriage and made quarrel with the petitioner's wife Karthika and assaulted her, which was informed to the defacto complainant through phone. Again on 10.02.2026 at 02.00 AM one Vignesh called the defacto complainant and informed that his daughter died by hanging. Hence, the case. Investigation is pending and objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This court carefully considered the submission made by either side. The petitioner was arrested on 11.02.2026 for the alleged offence U/s. 194(3)(i) @ 85, 108 of BNS. The allegation is that his words and deeds caused mental agony and made his wife to commit suicide.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that there is no misunderstanding or matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and his deceased wife. No previous cases in this regard pending before any courts. The funeral of the deceased wife was performed by the petitioner and in support of his contention he produced a receipt issued by the Mayiladuthurai Municipality for the payment of cremation charges by the petitioner. A trivial family dispute as to attending a marriage has been taken as a negative fact and the petitioner's wife commit suicide. The learned counsel also submitted that there is no one to take care and maintain the child born to the deceased woman. Therefore, this court considering the above said facts inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on conditions.

In the result, this petition is allowed. Consequently, the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bail bond as defined in section 2(d) BNSS 2023 along with similar bail bonds from two persons each for a sum of Rs. 25,000/- to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Mayiladuthurai for undertakings his/her appearance for further proceedings, and with other conditions that,

(i) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Passbook to ensure the identity.

**(ii) the petitioner shall report before the Respondent police station daily at**

**10.00 A.M for a period of 30 days.**

(iii) The petitioner should co-operate for the investigation and should not threaten the witnesses.

(iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, [(2005) AIR S.C.W.5560]*.

(vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

District and Sessions Judge,  
Mayiladuthurai.

**Copy to**

1. The Judicial Magistrate No. 1, Mayiladuthurai.
2. The Inspector of Police, Kuthalam P.S.
3. The Petitioner through his counsel.