

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
MAYILADUTHURAI.**

Present: Dr. L.S. Sathiyamurthy, M.A., M.L., Ph.D.,

District and Sessions Judge, Mayiladuthurai.

State of Tamil Nadu.

Wednesday, this the 18th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P. No. 353/2026

Ramadoss, S/o. Nagaraj.

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Manalmedu P.S., in Cr. No. 102/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

U/s. 296(b), 351(3) 56 r/w 108 of BNS Act.

This petition came up today for the hearing before me in the presence of Thiru. R. Radhakrishnan, Advocate for the Petitioner and Tmt. A. Aruna, on behalf of Public Prosecutor for the State and after careful consideration of the materials available on record and the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this court passed the following:

ORDER

1. This is a petition filed U/s. 483 of BNSS, 2023.

2. Heard, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused person would submit that the respondent police has originally registered a case against the petitioner for the offences U/s. 296(b), 351(3) 56 r/w 108 of BNS Act. The petitioner was subsequently arrested on suspicion and remanded to judicial custody on 03.03.2026 and is in judicial custody for the past 16 days. More over this false case has been registered against the petitioner and he has no connection with this case. The petitioner is innocent and he did not commit any offences as alleged by the prosecution. Investigation is almost over. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to grant bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that on 02.03.2026 defacto complainant and his family went to a temple festival, at that time the petitioner scolded the public in filthy language when it was questioned by the defacto

complainant's husband, on the same day at about 07.00 PM, petitioner standing in front of the complainant's house and scolded the defacto complainant in a filthy language to cause outrage of modesty of women. Therefore, the humiliating version said to have forced her attempt to commit suicide. Hence, the case. The defacto complainant is discharged from the hospital. Investigation is pending and objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This court carefully considered the submission made by either side. The petitioner was arrested on 03.03.2026 for the alleged offences U/s. 296(b), 351(3) 56 r/w 108 of BNS Act. The petitioner said to have uttered false words in a filthy language to cause outrage of modesty of woman. Therefore, the humiliating version said to have forced her attempt to commit suicide. The records would go to show that the defacto complainant after medical treatment discharged from the hospital. There is no previous case against the petitioner. The major portion of investigation is also completed. Therefore, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on following conditions.

In the result, this petition is allowed. Consequently, the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bail bond as defined in section 2(d) BNSS 2023 along with similar bail bonds from two persons each for a sum of Rs. 25,000/- to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Mayiladuthurai for undertakings his/her appearance for further proceedings, and with other conditions that,

(i) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Passbook to ensure the identity.

(ii) the petitioner shall report before the Respondent police station daily at 10.00 A.M for a period of 30 days.

(iii) The petitioner should co-operate for the investigation and should not threaten the witnesses.

(iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, [(2005) AIR S.C.W.5560]*.

(vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 18th day of March 2026.

District and Sessions Judge,
Mayiladuthurai.

Copy to

1. The Judicial Magistrate No. 1, Mayiladuthurai.
2. The Inspector of Police, Manalmedu P.S.
3. The Petitioner through his counsel.