

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MELUR

Present: Selvi.D.Thendraal Muthu, L.L.M.,

Judicial Magistrate, Melur.

Dated this the 07th day of April 2026,

Crl.M.P.No.375/2026

in

Cr.No.100/2025

--

Amsavalli
D/o.Alagappan

...Petitioner/Owner of the property

/Vs/

State through the Sub Inspector of Police,
PEW Melur P.S. in Cr.No.100/2025
U/s.4(1)(C) TNP Act

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on 07.04.2026 for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.V.Alageshkumar, learned counsel for Petitioner and the Learned APP for the Respondent/Complainant and upon perusal of the records, this court delivers the following.

ORDER

1. This is an application for the interim custody of subject vehicle (Yamaha Cygnus Ray Z) bearing Reg.No.TN 55 BE 1710, Chassis No.ME1SED1S3K0005504, Engine No.E3Y3E0681495 filed U/s.497, 503 BNSS
2. The Learned counsel for the petitioner/Owner of the property submitted that the subject vehicle (Yamaha Cygnus Ray Z) bearing Reg.No.TN 55 BE 1710, Chassis No.ME1SED1S3K0005504, Engine No.E3Y3E0681495 is belonging to the petitioner. The above said subject vehicle (Yamaha Cygnus Ray Z) bearing Reg.No.TN 55 BE 1710, Chassis No.ME1SED1S3K0005504, Engine No.E3Y3E0681495 is very necessary

to the petitioner and it may loss its own value, he prays for return of the property.

3. The respondent submit the reply in this case, that the two-wheeler which was seized by them has been taken over by the government under Section 14(4) of the TNP Act. The vehicle was sent to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Prohibition Enforcement Wing, Madurai District, along with the B List of the aforementioned two-wheeler dealer. The Deputy Superintendent of Police has instructed the vehicle owner, one Amsavalli, to appear and produce the original vehicle registration certificate for clarification. However, it appears that the owner has not appeared until now. Therefore, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Prohibition Enforcement Wing, Madurai District, has ordered that the above vehicle be confiscated for the benefit of the government on 04.03.2026. It is understood that the procedure notice regarding this has been served to the owner on 21.03.2026, as stated in the respondent's reply notice.
4. On perusal of records it is found that the said property has been confiscated by the state under the special statute, as per the Section 14(4) of Tamilnadu Prohibition Act, if the property is confiscated by the state as per the above provision this court lacks jurisdiction to entertain this petition for return of confiscated property. Thus this court is not inclined to grand the relief. The petition is devoid of merits and this petition is bared by the finality of the administrative confiscated order.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated by me to the Steno-typist and typed by her in computer directly and corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this 07th day of April 2026.

Judicial Magistrate,
Melur.