

PNB v/s Partap Singh

Present: Complainant with Sh. Dinesh Garg, Advocate.

Order

Brief facts of the present case are that the accused issued cheque no. 237742 dated 20.08.2025 for Rs.4,97,000/- and cheque no. 237741 dated 20.08.2025 for Rs.4,47,000/- to the complainant in discharge of liability. The said cheque was dishonoured with remarks "Funds Insufficient". Complainant served a legal notice upon the accused but accused failed to make the payment to the complainant.

2. To substantiate the facts, the complainant stepped into the witness box as CW1 and placed on record the original cheque, return memos, legal notice, postal receipts, etc.

3. After conclusion of the preliminary evidence, the issue of process was postponed and inquiry was conducted by this court by referring to the testimony of complainant as witness and the documents tendered on record by complainant witness in support of the allegations levelled against the accused.

4. Arguments advanced and heard. Case file perused.

5. A perusal of the documents placed on record reveals that the complainant has presented the cheque within period of validity. Thereafter complainant issued a legal notice to the accused within period of 30 days from the date of receipt of communication about the fact of dishonour of cheque. Accused failed to make the payment to the complainant within stipulated time.

6. Keeping in view the above facts, there is sufficient prima facie ground to proceed against the accused u/s 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act. As a necessary corollary of the above discussion accused is hereby summoned for commission of offence u/s 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act. Summons be issued to the accused through all modes for 09.06.2026 on filing of process fees, etc. Dasti notice be also given, if so desired.

Pronounced in Open Court:
04.02.2026

(Dr. Tarun Kumar Verma)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Panipat, UID: HR0496

NACT-2937-2025

PNB v/s Partap Singh

Present: Complainant with Sh. Dinesh Garg, Advocate.

Complainant tendered duly sworn affidavit Ex. CW1/A alongwith certain documents in preliminary evidence and closed the preliminary evidence.

Arguments advanced and heard on the point of summoning of the accused. A perusal of case file reveals that the place of residence of accused is beyond Panipat. Therefore, the present case file be put after lunch break for inquiry into the case as per the mandate of section 225 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Date of Order: 04.02.2026

Yogesh, Stenographer Gr.III

(Dr. Tarun Kumar Verma)

Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Panipat, UID: HR0496

Present: Complainant with Sh. Dinesh Garg, Advocate.

File again put up after lunch break. Inquiry conducted by referring to the material available on record. Vide separately recorded order of even date, accused has been summoned u/s 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act for 09.06.2026. Dasti notice be also given, if so desired.

Date of Order: 04.02.2026

Yogesh, Stenographer Gr.III

(Dr. Tarun Kumar Verma)

Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Panipat, UID: HR0496