

RC536149

ORDER BELOW E.A. D-15

(Decided on 10th January, 2011).

This is an application for amendment

(2) Heard Ld. Adv. D. Harmanekar, so also they have filed written arguments at E.A. D-15. Heard Ld. Adv. Sikandar who has filed his reply at E.A. D-15. I have perused the records and my point arise for my determination is whether it can be allowed at this stage, to which I answer the same in the affirmative for the following reasons.

REASONS AND FINDINGS

(3) It is mentioned that plaintiff has failed various times which

now he wants to recover from
the defendant. the amendment
is saying it then says the
written arguments at 10:20
states that amendment is not
changing the nature of the
suit and it is not taking
away or withdrawing anything.

④ LA. Adv. Solicitor vehemently
argued that present amendment
is not necessary to decide the
lit. the fact was sudden & bad
condition for which value is
appreciated who has submitted
his report LA. Adv. accordingly
prayed that application be
dismissed.

⑤ Plaintiff wants to make charges
in the course of the considering
the proposed amendment which

is to change the nature of
the suit, to prejudice will be
allowed to defend it if same
is allowed at this stage.
Hence following order is passed.

FINAL ORDER

Application of eth. D-15 is
allowed. Plaintiff to carry
necessary amendments within
14 days from today and
present it to the amending
copy of the new decree.
pronounced in the open
court.

10-1-2014
Bickelstein - CMC.

DE
10/1/14
CAK/Heeta Kalia
CJJD's Court
Bickelstein
CMC.