

In the Court of the I Additional Sessions Judge, Madurai
Present: Thiru.S. John Sundarlal Suresh, B.Sc., M.L.,
I Additional Sessions Judge

Dated this the 15th day of July 2026, Wednesday
Crl.M.P.No.3284/2026 in S.C.No.387/2019

Rajamanickam (Rasaiya),
S/o Arumugm,
Periyannan 1st street,
Sempoorani Road,
Ambethkar Nagar,
Avaniyapuram,
Madurai.

...Petitioner/Accused

.. Vs..

State represented by the Inspector of Police
Avaniyapuram P.S
Cr.No.665/2019
U/s 294(b), 506(ii) and 302 IPC.

... Respondent/ Complainant

This petition coming on 14.07.2026 for final hearing in the presence of legal aid defense counsel Thiru.M.Ramasubramanian for the petitioner, Additional Public Prosecutor Thiru.V.C.Sankaranarayanan for prosecution and upon hearing the arguments of both parties and upon perusing the case records, this court passed the following

ORDER

1. The application filed by the petitioner/accused u/s 439 Cr.P.C to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was arrested on the basis of NBW issued by this Court and he was remanded to judicial custody on 13.09.2021. The petitioner is still under judicial custody for the past 1766 days. The petitioner had urgently travel out of station to a remote area for his livelihood and employment purpose. The petitioner is ready to abide any condition imposed by this court and that the petition is to be allowed.

3. Per contra the learned Additional Public Prosecutor filed the detailed reply and contended that the petitioner was arrested on the basis of NBW issued by this Court and now the case is at trial stage. While it is so if the petitioner will be enlarged on bail, he may again abscond the process of law and that this petition is devoid of merits and liable to be dismissed.

4. This court carefully considered the above said submission of the learned counsel for the both parties and perused the records. It appears from the record that the petitioner is under judicial custody for past 1767 days. There is no serious case except this case is pending as against the petitioner. It appears from the record, the main case in S.C.No.387/2019, only witnesses number up to 12 were examined, the remaining 13 to 21 witnesses to be examined and it would take some more time to dispose of the case.

5. Under these circumstances having regard to the personal liberty of the petitioner, nature of offence, in the context of the length of custody and the stage of the case, this court concluded that no prejudice would be caused to the prosecution if the bail will be granted to the petitioner imposing necessary condition and as such the petitioner will be enlarged on bail on his execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- along with two sureties

for a like sum each to the satisfaction of this court with condition that the petitioner should report before the respondent police station once in a week ie., on every Monday at 6.30 PM until further orders. Ordered accordingly.

Dictated by me to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court this the 15th day of July 2026.

I Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Madurai.