

TNMD010041922026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : THIRU. M.SAISARAVANAN., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Thursday, this the 2nd day of July, 2026.

Crl.M.P.No.3058/2026

CNR No.TNMD010041922026

V.Ramesh Kumar, S/o.Velmurugan

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Sellur P.S. in Cr.No.269/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.R.Dhiliban, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Bail application u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused submitted that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner and others in Crime No.269/2026 for the offences u/s 109, 118(1), 191(2), 192(3), 296(b) of BNS. The petitioner/A4 is in judicial custody for the past 47 days. The petitioner is in no way connected with this case. Due to previous enmity, the present false complaint has been lodged. Injured discharged from hospital. Co-accused were granted bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court/ this court. No similar petition is filed or pending before any superior courts. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and that the petition is to be allowed.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that due to previous enmity, on 13.04.2026, A1 to A5 have abused the defacto-complainant's son in vulgar words, assaulted him with knife and Aruval and caused multiple injuries to him and also assaulted one viz. Vijayakumar, who tried to rescue the injured from the accused and they have threatened them with dire consequences. Injured discharged from hospital. Investigation is pending and objected to allow the petition.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner/A4 are u/s 109, 118(1), 191(2), 192(3), 296(b) of BNS. As per the case of the prosecution, due to previous enmity, A1 to A5 have abused the defacto-complainant's son in vulgar words, assaulted him with knife and Aruval and caused multiple injuries to him and also assaulted one viz. Vijayakumar, who tried to rescue the injured from the accused and they have threatened them with dire consequences. Two persons sustained injuries and they are discharged from hospital. The Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court granted bail to A1 and A3 as per order in CrI.OP(MD) Nos.9673 & 9672 respectively dated 03.06.2026 and this court granted bail to A2 as per order in Cr.M.P.No.2506/2026 dated 04.06.2026. The petitioner/A4 is in custody for 47 days. Material part of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. Further custodial interrogation of petitioner is not necessary. No previous case is brought to the knowledge of this court against the petitioner. Considering the above facts, the duress period of petitioner, the discharge of injured and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on conditions.

In the result, the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- along with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurai subject to the following conditions:-

(i) After release, the petitioner shall appear sign before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days.**

(ii) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation and shall not threaten the witnesses and shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

(iii) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.

(iv) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(v) If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W.5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 2nd day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to

1. The J.M.No.2. Madurai.
2. The Inspector of Police, Sellur P.S.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
4. The Petitioner through his counsel.